Section B: Design Changes

1 From your observation, please rank which of the options has contributed majorly to the design changes on Medupi Structural Steel:
   (5) Very Relevant; (4) Relevant; (3) Neutral; (2) Not so Relevant; (1) Not Relevant at all

i. Contract Definition: Changes Requested by Eskom
   
ii. Internal Changes: Changes initiated by Hitachi Power in order to achieve project objectives i.e project schedules, cost reduction, programs interaction.
   
iii. External Constraints: Changes made by Hitachi Power in order to cope with industry regulations (South African Standards)
   
iv. Emergent Changes: Changes made to fix deficiencies, correcting errors, leveraging misunderstandings between different design domain.
   
v. Initiated Change: Changes made to improve or upgrade product

Please mark with X your response to the below questions:

2 Could the magnitude of design changes that have occurred on the Medupi Structural Steel been expected and planned for?

3 From your observation, is the system for managing design changes currently in place for Medupi effective?

4 Would a Design Management Tool/Method effectively manage the magnitude of changes that occurred on Medupi?

5 Would a Design Management Tool/Method reduce the likelihood of disputes over design changes?

6 Has Global Collaboration (Hitachi Power Europe being a European Company) contributed to the magnitude of design changes on Medupi?