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ABSTRACT

In South Africa, a total number of 5.7 – 6.2 million individuals had acquired HIV infection by 2004 (Department of Health, 2004). It is estimated that 3.3 million females, 2.8 million males, and 104 963 babies had been infected with HIV in South Africa by 2004 (Department of Health, 2004). It has been found that HIV-positive children have significantly greater neurological dysfunction in eight domains (activity, language, cranial nerve, fine motor, gross motor, cerebellar, sensory and primitive reflexes) than their HIV negative counterparts (Belman et al, 1996). There has been very little research conducted in Africa regarding the extent of delay of language, motor, and cognitive development in HIV positive infants.

The main aim of this study is to determine the extent of delay in acquisition of language, cognitive and motor skills of HIV positive children.

The Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID II) were used to determine performance in each section of the child’s age group. These results were transferred to the facet scoring section, which analyse in greater detail, with respect to cognitive, language and motor development. Baseline BSID II assessments of HIV infected children currently enrolled in a longitudinal study of neurodevelopmental delay were analysed to determine which facets of development are most delayed. The Mental and Psychomotor Developmental Indices (MDI and PDI) of the BSID II were used to determine the extent of mental and motor delays in this sample.

Mean cognitive development was 7.63 months delayed, which was statistically significant (p<0.01) and 97.5% of the sample were functioning below the expected cognitive age. Mean motor development was 9.65 months delayed (p<0.01), and 97.5% of the sample were functioning below expected motor age. Gross motor skills were more affected than fine motor skills, and 85% of the sample demonstrated gross motor delays on descriptive analysis. Language was descriptively analysed, revealing language delays in 82.5% of the sample.

The infants in this study demonstrated significant mental and motor delays, as well as delays in language. It is postulated that motor delays may be attributed to decreased
strength, as the most adversely affected skill in this sample was gross motor development. The cognitive delays noted may be due to disease progression and structural damage to the brain, as well as socio-economic factors. The language delays noted could be due to neurological impairment, cognitive delay or environmental deprivation.

Children with HIV have significant delays in mental and motor development, and language is delayed in most children with HIV. The results of this study are similar to findings in other parts of the world, which indicates a global trend in HIV and neurodevelopmental delay. The results of this study are important, particularly for those involved in motor and language rehabilitation, as an awareness of potential problems in these infants is needed in order to provide them with the best management and care possible.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSID</td>
<td>Bayley Scales of Infant Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central Nervous System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG</td>
<td>Central Pattern Generators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Corticospinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Cerebrospinal Fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>Growth Hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDI</td>
<td>Mental Developmental Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-Methyl-D-Aspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>Psychomotor Developmental Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Progressive Encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNAIDS</td>
<td>Joint United Nations Programme for HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS:

HIV-1:

There are two major types of HIV: HIV-1 and HIV-2. Although similar to HIV-1, HIV-2 has a different sequence of nucleotides in its genome. Studies suggest that both HIV-1 and HIV-2 evolved from Simian Immunodeficiency virus (SIV), but from different simian species. HIV-1 diverged from chimpanzee SIV and HIV-2 from sooty mangabey SIV. HIV-2 is less transmissible than HIV-1 both vertically and between partners, and progression to end-stage AIDS is slower. HIV-2 is more commonly found in countries in West Africa (Pratt, 2003)

Facet Scores:

The facet scores were developed to provide information about the infant’s performance in four areas of development: Cognitive, Language, Motor and Social. Items from the Mental and Motor Scales of the BSID have been assigned to the facet scores according to area of development. Item difficulty is regressed on age to assign items to developmental ages within each facet (Black and Matula, 2000). The social facet has very few items, and therefore has not been included in this research.

Mental Developmental Index (MDI) and Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI):

The MDI and PDI are the most reliable ad valid scores in the BSID-II. These index scores are a normalized distribution of the standardization sample’s raw scores converted to a scale with a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15. The index scores range from 50 – 150 (Black and Matula, 2000).
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Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION

Globally it was estimated that in 2004, there were 39.4 million people worldwide living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). Of these people, 17.6 million were women, and 2.2 million were children. Almost 12% (4.9 million) were newly infected in 2004 (UNAIDS Update, 2004). In South Africa, a total number of 5.7 – 6.2 million individuals had acquired HIV infection by 2004 (Department of Health, 2004). It is estimated that 3.3 million females, 2.8 million males, and 104,963 babies had been infected with HIV in South Africa by 2004 (Department of Health, 2004). The HIV epidemic amongst children is closely linked to that amongst women, since the majority of paediatric infections are the result of vertical transmission from mother to child (Thorne and Newell, 2000).

Twenty years have elapsed since the original description of the assault of HIV on the central nervous system (Epstein et al, 1985). The nervous system is among the most frequent and serious targets of HIV (Simpson et al, 1996). Involvement of the nervous system in HIV-infected patients can come about as a result of direct HIV action, opportunistic infection caused by the immunodeficiency, or both (Fragoso et al, 1999). The pathogenesis of brain injury in HIV-1 infected patients remains incompletely understood, and is confounded by factors such as timing of infection, variable treatments, and lack of access to brain tissue for evaluation. (Fuller et al, 2004).

The most frequent manifestations of HIV-associated progressive encephalopathy are: cognitive impairment, developmental delays, corticospinal tract lesions, acquired microcephaly, movement disorders, and ataxia. Progressive motor dysfunction is common, and often results in a loss of milestones (Belman, 1992). It has been found that HIV-positive children have significantly greater neurological dysfunction in eight domains (activity, language, cranial nerve, fine motor, gross motor, cerebellar, sensory and primitive reflexes) than their HIV negative counterparts (Belman et al, 1996). Chase et al (1995) and Pearson et al (2000) found that a lower CD4 count, and elevated viral RNA load were associated with increased severity of disability, and that slower attainment in milestones in both motor and mental development is associated
with vertically transmitted HIV infection in infants and children younger than 30 months.

Significant delays in mental and motor development of HIV-infected infants have been found over the first two years of life (Gay et al, 1995; Smith et al, 2000). Because neural development does not cease after 2 years of age, further delays can be expected to evolve over time. In particular, myelination of the frontal and parietal regions of the brain continues throughout childhood and even into early adulthood. These regions are responsible for higher cortical functions, and destruction of the myelination processes of these areas by HIV will cause significant delays in higher functioning (Gay et al, 1995).

The neuropathogenesis of language problems in HIV is unknown (Wolters et al, 1995). Children with HIV have language problems, with expressive language function significantly more affected than receptive language; damage to the basal ganglia may be associated with language dysfunction, particularly in the expressive forms. Verbal expression is highly correlated to motor function, thus motor deficits, including those in muscle coordination and motor programming may affect oral-motor skills and contribute to feeding problems, articulation errors and speech difficulties (Wolters et al, 1997).

The Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID) measure the mental and motor development, and test behaviour of children from 1 – 42 months of age. The scales were first published in 1969, with the age range for assessment being 2 – 30 months. The 2nd edition was published in 1993, when the age range was extended down to 1 month, and up to 42 months of age. Infant’s mental and motor development can be placed on a bell curve according to the raw scores obtained, and development may be classified as significantly delayed (raw score <70), mildly delayed (70 – 85), within normal limits (85 – 115), or accelerated development (115>). One of the primary uses of the Bayley Scales is to examine development of infants who are suspected to be delayed (Black and Matula, 2000). The Bayley Scales have been found to be sensitive to developmental changes in the first two years of life of infants who are medically fragile (Niccols et al, 2002), and these findings support the clinical validity of the scale, which can be applied to those with HIV.
There has been very little research conducted in Africa regarding the extent of delay of language, motor and cognitive development in HIV positive infants. Overall development of HIV infected infants has been found to be delayed, but there is a need for the assessment of the above-mentioned skills to determine any emerging trends, to facilitate a better understanding of where the biggest developmental problems lie. Government policy does not include allied health professionals in their staffing requirements for HIV units, and patient care (Department of Health, 2003). This could be due to a lack of awareness on the subject, and therefore this study could facilitate better awareness of the need for this service. Treatment regimens could then be formulated to target these areas specifically, in order to prevent delay and further deterioration.

The Aims and Objectives of this study were:

**GENERAL AIM OF THE STUDY:**

The main aim of this study was to determine the extent of delay in acquisition of language, cognitive, and motor skills of HIV positive children.

**STUDY OBJECTIVES:**

i) To assess the development of language, cognitive, and motor skills in HIV positive infants.

ii) To determine the emerging trends in neurodevelopmental delay in HIV infected children in South Africa.

iii) To determine the skill that is most adversely affected by HIV infection.

iv) To attempt to determine the ages at which developmental problems begin to manifest.
Chapter 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Knowledge of the development and function of the normal central nervous system is important in gaining an understanding of its vulnerability in infants, and therefore how it can be affected by HIV infection. This literature review serves to discuss normal development of the central nervous system, and the major components used to assess its integrity, namely cognitive, motor and language function. This will be discussed in relation to HIV, its effect on the developing nervous system, and therefore the outcomes on cognitive, language and motor development. The role that socioeconomic status plays in development will also be discussed. The literature was obtained through comprehensive searches on major databases (PubMed, Medline, MD Consult). Keywords used in the searches were: HIV, CNS, Encephalopathy, Normal Development, Vertically Transmitted HIV, Developmental Psychology, Brain Development.

2.1 Vertically Transmitted HIV

More than 95% of all people living with HIV infection live in the developing world, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa (Thorne and Newell, 2000). The HIV epidemic amongst children is closely linked to that amongst women, since the majority of paediatric infections are the result of vertical transmission from mother to child (Thorne and Newell, 2000). Vertically transmitted HIV occurs in an immature and developing organism by transplacental or intrapartum transmission from mother to child (Belman, 1992; Rausch and Stover, 2001). Rates of vertical transmission, in the absence of interventions range from 25-40% in Africa (European Collaborative Study, 1996). Maternal-, obstetric- and infant-related factors have been associated with the risk of vertical transmission, with the most important maternal factor being viral load (European Collaborative Study, 1996).

Obstetric risk factors associated with an increased risk of mother-to-child transmission include vaginal delivery, ascending infection after prolonged rupture of membranes, chorioamnionitis, materno-foetal micro-transfusions during uterine contractions and absorption of the virus through the infant’s

The onset of perinatally acquired HIV infection is early with most infected infants showing signs of disease by six months of age. By one year, children are symptomatic with frequent occurrence of neurological abnormalities (Bobat et al, 1998).

2.2 Development of the Central Nervous System

The brain appears as early as the third week after conception and develops rapidly, so that by the end of the seventh month of pregnancy, all the neurons of the adult brain are basically formed and in place (Nowakowski, 1987).

Postnatal cortical development is divided into 2 phases:

Phase 1: birth to one year decline in neuronal density, increases in synaptic density, number of synapses per neuron, dendritic growth and total cerebral volume.

Phase 2: one year to adolescence slow decline in synaptic and neuronal density, increases in dendritic growth and decrease of synaptic density along dendrites (Huttenlocher, 1979).

There is a period of rapid synapse formation that begins before birth, rapid growth, especially in the two to four month age period, and by six months of age, the infant’s brain has more synapses than an adult brain (Rakic et al, 1986; Huttenlocher, 1990). Peaks in quantity of synapses occur during the first year of life throughout the cortex due to the interaction between innate genetic programmes and environmental stimulation via the senses (Catherwood, 1999; Stiles, 2000).
The initial overproduction of synapses in the cortex may be related to the functional property of the immature brain to allow recovery and adaptation after focal injury of malformation (Huttenlocher 1984). It may also be the mechanism by which the brain is made ready to receive specific input from the environment. There are developmental increases of synapses in the postnatal period, and therefore this is important for the onset of cognitive function (Goldman-Rakic, 1987).

Pruning (loss of synapses in the absence of cell death) refers to environmentally regulated changes in the density of synapses per unit of dendritic length, and not the loss of the whole neuron. Pruning follows a period of rapid synaptogenesis during infancy and plateau in childhood. It is likely that only inappropriate synapses and their branches disappear as a result of experience or learning (Catherwood, 1999; Stiles, 2000; Webb and Monk, 2001), and only the synapses which are stabilised or consolidated through usage will be maintained (Changeux and Dehaene, 1989; Stiles, 2000).

During the first postnatal year, growth of dendritic trees and spines are seen in all six layers of the cortex, although they are still immature. Peak production of pyramidal neuron dendrites reaches a maximum during the second year of life. In the prefrontal cortex dendrites of pyramidal neurons undergo a rapid growth phase until about one year of age, with increasing branching until early adulthood (Koenderick et al, 1995). The formation of appropriate axonal projections may be disrupted in a number of ways, for example in encephalopathy (Webb and Monk, 2001).

White matter volume increases throughout childhood and well into adulthood (Reiss et al, 1996; Huppi et al, 1998), with increase in the dorsal, frontal and parietal regions through adolescence (Sowell et al, 1999). The prefrontal cortex appears to be one of the last brain regions to mature, particularly the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Cognitive processes that have been attributed to the prefrontal cortex include working memory, response inhibition and attention allocation (Diamond et al, 1988). Memory, inhibition, and attention are often treated as three distinct psychological constructs. Aspects of these
cognitive processes may be part of a single construct or common underlying circuitry (Diamond et al, 1998).

Evidence of prolonged development and organisation of the prefrontal cortex throughout childhood and adolescence may suggest a parallel between brain development and cognitive development (Chugani, 1987; Diamond et al, 1988, Rakic et al, 1994). Mature cortical organisation depends on relocation or retraction (or both) of cortico-cortical axons, the elimination of excessive synapses and eventually on naturally occurring cell death (Kostovic, 1990).

Learning favours some synapses over others, with only preferred synapses surviving. This process of competition amongst synapses begins at around one year of age for most brain areas and continues up until ten years of age (later for areas involved in abstract aspects of thought). This pattern accounts for the critical periods found for many aspects of early development (Catherwood, 1999). Infancy is a critical period of development, in which rapidly growing structures are more sensitive to damage (Huttenlocher 1984). The infant brain demonstrates a greater ability to recover from some types of injury than seen in later development. As long as there are spare synapses, the brain can take on new learning and recover from injury or damage, but when this extra allowance of synapses disappears, learning may be less readily established and recovery from brain injury is more difficult. Stimulation from the environment results in learning either by stabilising existing networks in the brain, or by forging new ones. These appear to be the fundamental mechanisms by which cognitive capacities develop (Catherwood, 1999).

Genetic information plays a role in the determination of brain development. The area-specific characteristics of the neocortex are partially based on properties laid down at the time of neurogenesis (O’Leary, 1989). Another indication that genetic information contributes significantly to brain development is the fact that 50% of tissue-specific human genes are expressed in the brain (Evans, 1998).
Development is an active, dynamic process that involves cognitive and affective processing of environmental events and experiences, and adds meaning to these experiences. This takes into account the emerging cognitive and language functions, behavioural repertoire, social and emotional processes, as well as changes occurring in anatomical structures and physiologic processes of the brain during development (Sroufe et al., 1984). It is characterised by a continual reorganisation of old and new skills, as individuals adapt to new experiences and environments (Sroufe et al., 1984).

Development throughout the lifespan is a function of interactions between the individual and changing environments in which the individual lives and interacts (Culbertson et al., 2003). These include the settings of family, friends, and school, and also the larger social contexts in which these settings are embedded (Bronfenbrenner, 1977).

Development proceeds as well-defined systems awaiting an external trigger, and neural development is an active, reciprocal process. The structure and organisation of the mature normal brain is the product of synaptogenesis and pruning. Functional plasticity refers to the dynamic and adaptive processes that underlie brain development and function, and the normally developing brain is both dynamic and plastic (Stiles, 2000). The capacity for plastic change is never completely lost, as plasticity is a central feature of brain development, and not a response to pathological insult. After early injury specific neural resources are lost, and there should be consequent impairment of the system. The magnitude and duration of initial impairment may depend on a range of factors, such as the timing of insult, extent and location of injury and specificity of the neural substrate for the function under consideration. Early damage to the neural substrate ensures that neural organisation will differ from that observed following normal development, but the processes by which that organisation is achieved need not be fundamentally different (Stiles, 2000).
2.3 Cognitive Development

In development, there is a progression away from dependence on immediate stimulus input towards dependence on rules that combine perceptual information with information from memory: this is known as cognitive development (Bower, 1982). Elementary cognitive processes are in place by early childhood; however, the capacity for abstract thought, planning, and cognitive flexibility develop throughout adolescence (Levin, 1991). Concurrent with cognitive development are important brain maturational events that continue into early adulthood, including synaptic pruning, elaboration of dendritic arborisation (Huttenlocher, 1990), and increased myelination (Paus et al, 1999). Cognitive development during late childhood and adolescence is subserved primarily by the late incorporation of the prefrontal cortex, either by its intrinsic late structural maturation (Sowell et al, 1999) or by the maturation of other neocortical regions (Chugani et al, 1998) that influence their functional integration with the prefrontal cortex (Thatcher, 1987).

Psychological perspectives on cognitive development have undergone a change over the last two decades, moving from a ‘stage’ account of the growth of children’s abilities and competence (as developed by Piaget), and incorporating the factors of children’s knowledge, interest or learning context in most accounts of early cognition (Catherwood, 1999).

Detecting categorical similarities among objects begins as early as three to four months (Behl-Chadha, 1996), indicating that Piagetian characterisations vastly underestimate infant cognitive competencies (Catherwood, 1999). By nine to fourteen months of age, infants are sensitive to a range of conceptual spatial contrasts, only some of which may be relevant to the language they eventually learn (Mc Donough et al, 2003) and they are able to perform tasks involving the interaction of memory, spatial understanding, object identity and object permanence (Moore and Meltzoff, 2004). Children with focal brain lesions may learn to perform these tasks, although the processes they use differ from the normal population. These deficits in process provide evidence
of subtle, persistent spatial cognitive deficits, following early brain injury in children (Stiles, 2000).

A basic goal in studying cognitive development is to understand the origins of knowledge by assessing what infants know. Means-end behaviour, often defined as the ability to link action on one object (the means) to its effect on other objects (the end) is used from about seven months (Munakata et al 1997). Before that time infants learn various sensory-motor routines on how to uncover objects and obtain out-of-reach objects by pulling, but have not developed the conceptual processes required to work out a complex goal path by thought alone. Exposing infants to varying environments has a significant impact on their development and rates of learning. In the first year of life, infants have the potential to develop means-end skills to solve problems by manipulation and exploration if given the opportunity, and are able to apply what they learn in one situation to other situations. Increased motor capacity could also have important ramifications for the development of means-end behaviours, other problem solving tasks, and overall cognitive development (Bojczk and Corbetta, 2004).

The rudiments of declarative memory are seen in infants as young as six months, (Collie et al, 1999) and by nine months, recall memory is robust (Carver et al, 2001). By ten or eleven months, infants can recall sequences after a delay of several months (Carver et al, 2001). Memory consolidation processes are essential to the development of an accessible conceptual system, which is the basis for language, hence the finding that thought comes before language (Mandler, 2004).

Mothers do not necessarily raise their children as they were raised; instead, they raise them (unconsciously) to adapt to the changed social conditions under which their children will function as adults, and therefore, children’s representational skills do not necessarily replicate those of their parents. The patterns of cognitive development of a new generation change in response to a changing world, but always respecting constant patterns of basic cognitive development (Greenfield et al, 2003).
2.4 Language Development

Before language becomes well established, conceptual differentiation plays a larger role in word learning than word learning plays in conceptual differentiation (Booth and Waxman, 2002). When children start to acquire language, the conceptual representations they set up in their first year for objects, relations, properties and events provide a broad cognitive basis onto which they can map words from child-directed speech. By nine months of age, infants have developed a conceptual system rich enough to allow language to begin. This is shown by categorisation of objects above and beyond their perceptual appearance, problem-solving, long-term recall of events, and inductive inferences. This speech draws their attention to specific categories and properties of those categories, as well as to grammatical distinctions which are not yet represented (Clark, 2004). At the time when language takes off, concepts are often still less specific than many words in daily use, and the mismatch between concept and word accounts for the phenomenon of overextension of word meaning (Mandler, 2004).

Children learn their first words at about twelve months and by sixteen to eighteen months, are proficient. At twenty-one to thirty months, children should learn about two new words a day (Bloom et al, 1998). Early vocabularies include personal pronouns, proper names, prepositions, adjectives and many classes of nouns (Nelson et al, 1993). The second year of life heralds advances in expressive and receptive language abilities. At thirteen to seventeen months, infants use left and right hemispheres, and broadly distributed anterior and posterior regions when hearing comprehended and unknown words (Mills et al, 1997). In contrast, at twenty months these effects are limited to temporal and parietal regions of the left hemisphere (Mills et al, 1997). This might be linked to changes in lexical development that occur between thirteen and twenty months. Therefore language experience rather than age is a determining factor for increasing cerebral specialisation for language (Mills et al, 1997).

Word retrieval and the rapid recognition of words and utterances which are nearly automatic occur at about eighteen months to two-and-a-half years old.
The process of learning words, word order, and semantic cues of language reside in several areas of the brain, including the right and left parietotemporal and the frontal areas. As a word or phrase is learned and becomes part of the established language bank, the left temporal area becomes the site for this ongoing use of language, particularly for the essential elements of grammar (Mills et al, 1997).

Biology, as well as environment affects how language is learned, and the amount and variety of babbling and imitative speech production at nine to eighteen months is to some extent, a reflection of the amount of the mother's speech the child has experienced (Bishop, 2000). During the infancy-toddler period, subsequent growth in language competency is dependent on the amount and variety of responsive speech (Snow, 1972). The size of a two year-old's vocabulary is dependent on how much the mother speaks to her. Therefore the development of language is built on early interactions with caregivers and is augmented later by the presence of a rich, conversational environment (Bishop, 2000).

There is an association between motor and language impairment in school-aged children (Johnston et al, 1981; Bishop and Edmundson, 1987; Robinson, 1991; Powell and Bishop, 1992; Schwartz and Regan, 1996; Hill, 1998; Rintala et al, 1998; Hill, 2001), and children with language delays may have fine motor or gross motor delays (Bishop and Edmundson, 1987; Robinson, 1991; Schwartz and Regan, 1996). Given the motor complexity of speech, factors common to gross motor function and communication may be associated with language impairment (Webster et al, 2005). Therefore, a common pathogenesis may underlie both motor and language impairment (Webster et al, 2005).

The acquisition of language depends on different neural systems to those used by proficient language users. In the early stages of acquisition, language is affected by lesions to widely distributed brain regions; and by lesions to areas that do not affect adult language. The initial distribution of processing may provide options, which allow for the development of alternative patterns of
neural mediation for language (Stiles, 2000). Receptive language deficits are more common with right-sided lesions, and expressive deficits are seen in left-sided lesions (Stiles, 2000). Region-specific effects on language development have been resolved by five to six years of age, presumably due to the emergence of alternative forms of brain organisation for language (Stiles, 2000).

2.5 Motor Development

Variation is crucial for normal development, and developmental variation is not random, but determined by genetic evolution. Motor development is characterised by two phases of variation: the phases of primary (not geared to external conditions) and secondary variability (in which motor performance can be adapted to specific situations) (Hadders-Algra, 2000a). In both forms of variability, selection on the basis of afferent information plays a significant role.

Motor development starts during early foetal life with the phase of primary variability, which continues during infancy. Motility during early development is characterised by profuse variation, such as variation in movement trajectories, and in temporal and quantitative aspects of motility (Vles et al 1989). These variations in motor activity are not neatly tuned to environmental conditions, but the variations themselves constitute a fundamental developmental phenomenon (Hadders-Algra, 2002). Abnormal motor development due to a lesion of the brain at an early age is characterised by a limited repertoire of motor strategies and difficulties in adaptation of motor behaviour to task-specific conditions (Hadders-Algra, 2002).

Motor behaviour is one of the best indicators of well being in the first year of life (Santos et al, 2001). Knowledge of the organisation of motor control is a pre-requisite for the understanding of motor development. Motility is regarded as the net result of the activity of complex spinal or brainstem machineries, which are modulated by segmental afferent information and controlled by supraspinal networks (Grillner et al, 1995). Motor control of rhythmical movements like locomotion, respiration, sucking and mastication is based on
Central Pattern Generators (CPGs) (Calancie et al 1994; Dietz et al 1994). These are neuronal networks which can generate complex basic activation patterns of the muscles without any sensory signals, yet, the sensory information of the movement is important in adapting the movement to the environment. The activity of the networks which are usually located in the spinal cord or brain stem, is controlled from supraspinal areas via descending motor pathways (Grillner et al, 1995). The supraspinal activity itself is also organised in large-scale networks, in which cortical areas are functionally connected through direct recursive interaction or through intermediary cortical or subcortical (striatal, cerebellar) structures (Hikosaka et al, 1999). The supraspinal motor networks are the circuitries which expanded in particular during phylogeny, and which determine human motor ontogeny to a large extent (Hikosaka et al, 1999).

A lesion of the brain at an early age results in (a) a loss or a reduction of neuronal repertoires and (b) impaired selection (Hadders-Algra, 2000b). Large lesions of the brain induce a complete loss of primary neuronal repertoires resulting in a failure to develop specific functions. Less extensive lesions result in a reduction of the primary neuronal repertoires and a reduced variation in motor behaviour. One of the major signs of infants with brain damage is motility with little variation (Hadders-Algra, 2002). The presence of motor impairment, with its effects on participation in extracurricular recreational activities, is likely to have an impact on social, emotional, as well as academic function (Webster et al, 2005).

Maturation of the corticospinal (CS) tract and hand motor function provides a visible paradigm for the maturation of the central nervous system (Thelen, 1995). Various aspects of hand motor performance such as independent finger movements, precision grip, or the speed of movement serve as paradigms of hand motor function development and demonstrate the importance of neuronal structures presumed to be involved, such as the CS tract (Watts et al, 1992).

The rate of motor development within normally developing infants is not stable; there may be periods of development when no new motor skills appear,
and times when a number of motor skills are mastered simultaneously (Thelen, 1995; Darrah et al, 1998). The rate of emergence of motor development within an individual infant may be variable, depending on internal and external factors such as the CNS and the environment (Darrah et al, 1998).

2.6 Socioeconomic Effects on Development

Developmental research has clearly established that both socioeconomic status and aspects of home environment account for a significant proportion of the variance in cognitive functioning of healthy and preterm children (Bradley et al, 1989; Brooks-Gunn et al, 1996). Aspects of a child’s home environment and their associations with CNS factors may explain some of the variability in the cognitive functioning of children with HIV-1 infection. That is, despite CNS pathology, protective mechanisms may promote cognitive development in children with HIV-1 infection or, conversely, risk factors may result in greater vulnerability to cognitive dysfunction (Coscia et al, 2001). As the child matures the effects of poverty begin to snowball and there may be a decline in mental, motor and social/emotional development. Higher family income is associated with a more cognitively stimulating home environment, less maternal emotional stress and more positive parenting practices, which in turn are associated with higher cognitive outcomes (Linver and Brooks-Gunn, 2002).

In the formative years of development, malnourishment affects all dimensions of health and development (Spurr, 1983). For children growing up in poverty, physical and mental development is intertwined. Measures such as weight, height, and head circumference are predictors of cognitive abilities, whilst morbidity is negatively associated with cognitive test scores (Bhargava, 1998).

2.7 HIV and the Central Nervous System (CNS)

HIV-1 associated CNS dysfunction may complicate the course of HIV-1 disease in infants and children with vertically acquired infection (Belman et al, 1985; Ho et al, 1985; Ultmann et al, 1985; Epstein et al, 1986; Sharer et al, 1986; Epstein et al, 1987; Belman et al, 1988). Twenty years have elapsed since the original description of the assault of HIV on the CNS (Epstein et al,
1985). The nervous system is among the most frequent and serious targets of HIV (Simpson et al, 1996). The incidence of CNS involvement is not known, although it is thought to occur in most HIV infected children (Fragoso et al, 1999), and its incidence in children is at least three times greater than in adults only for the first year, and is similar thereafter, as early encephalopathy may be related to the occurrence of pathological events during late foetal life (Tardieu et al, 2000; Rausch and Stover, 2001).

Neurological and developmental abnormalities are frequent complications of HIV infection in children, especially in younger perinatally affected children (Belman, 1992; Nozyce et al, 1994; Raskino et al, 1999). In children with vertically transmitted HIV, infection of the CNS may occur early in the disease process whilst the CNS is still immature. Although it is believed that CNS infection occurs early in the course of HIV, the stage of brain development when CNS infection occurs varies amongst children; therefore there are varying patterns of disease seen (Belman, 1990).

Involvement of the nervous system in HIV-infected patients can come about as a result of direct HIV action, opportunistic infection caused by the immunodeficiency, or both (Fragoso et al, 1999). In children, CNS effects usually result directly from HIV-1 infection and not from opportunistic infections (Belman, 1992). The pathogenesis of brain injury in HIV-1 infected patients remains incompletely understood and is confounded by factors such as timing of infection, variable treatments, and lack of access to brain tissue for evaluation (Fuller et al, 2004). Studies have shown that significantly more children under three years of age show evidence of CNS disease, compared to children who have survived to over six years of age (Blanche et al, 1990).

The CNS is a viral reservoir for HIV-1 (Kolson, 2002). The invasion of the CNS by HIV-1 occurs early after infection, and HIV has been found in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) at or near the time of seroconversion (Ho et al, 1985; Resnick et al, 1985; Goudsmitt et al, 1986; Epstein et al, 1987; Davis et al, 1992; Spector et al, 1993), as well as in the aborted foetuses of HIV infected mothers as early as fifteen weeks of gestation (Lyman et al, 1990).
Once inside the CNS, virus replication occurs (Davis et al, 1992; Lipton et al, 1995). The neurodegenerative process is unleashed by HIV itself and once inside the CNS, HIV produces distinctive pathological changes (Masliah et al, 2000).

There is little evidence for direct invasion of the CNS by cell-free virus (Epstein and Gendelman, 1993; Brouwers et al, 1995). The primary immune cell targets of HIV infection within the CNS are microglia/macrophage cells derived from monocytes (Koenig et al, 1986; Wiley et al, 1986; Tardieu et al, 1992; Takahashi et al, 1996; Williams et al, 2001). In the periphery, HIV infects T Lymphocytes (T-cells) and monocytes through virus interaction with the CD4 receptor and co-receptors on the surface of these cells. HIV infection of T-cells results in cell lysis and death, yet HIV infection of monocytes, while productive, does not kill these cells. Actively infected monocytes in the blood traffic into the brain, whereupon they differentiate into a host of CNS cell types, most notably perivascular macrophages, perivascular microglia and resident microglia (Hickey and Williams, 1999). The transport of HIV into the CNS within infected monocytes is known as the ‘Trojan Horse’ hypothesis, so called for its stealth in invading the brain (Peluso et al, 1985). Once inside the brain, HIV particles and proteins can be localised within monocyte derived cells (Gabudza et al, 1986; Wiley et al, 1986).

Primary HIV infection of the CNS results in neural tissue damage (Navia et al, 1986; Sharer et al, 1986; Wiley et al, 1986; Davis et al, 1992; Brouwers et al, 1995) and neuron dysfunction and death are the indirect consequences of HIV infection of microglia and macrophages (Pulliam et al, 1991; Epstein and Gendelman, 1993). These infected cells secrete a battery of proinflammatory cytokines and other soluble factors including HIV proteins, which, over a sustained period and in high concentrations, are toxic to nearby neurons. Pro-inflammatory cytokines, neurotoxic metabolites and viral gene products, which may cause damage to cells, are expressed in the brain parenchyma shortly after infection and throughout the course of infection. Therefore neuronal cell damage may begin long before neurological symptoms appear (Kolson, 2002). Infected or activated T-lymphocytes traffick into the CNS
may also contribute to the cytotoxic cascade (Pulliam et al, 1991). HIV-1 infection of brain macrophages may limit the proliferation of nearby astrocytes (Tardieu et al, 1992). While HIV to a limited extent can infect astrocytes and endothelial cells (the cell types that form the blood brain barrier), HIV does not productively infect neurons (Wiley et al, 1986; Takahashi et al, 1996). Neurons and astrocytes can be destroyed by HIV-1 infected monocytic cells after adhesion of these cells to their membranes (Tardieu et al, 1992).

The pathological hallmarks of HIV include the multi-nucleated giant cell, which is formed by fusion of infected cells of monocyte lineage (Navia et al, 1986; Price et al, 1988). Other pathological features of CNS infection include perivascular infiltration of immune cells, white matter pallor, reactive astrocytosis (proliferation of astrocytes), microglial nodules, inflammation of the choroid plexus and various degrees of neuronal loss and damage to dendrites (Belman et al, 1988; Price et al, 1988; Wiley et al, 1991; Masliah et al, 1997).

In summary, the neuropathological findings in HIV-1 infected brain tissue can be explained by the following process: The HIV-infected monocyte (macrophage/microglia) is the initiator of the pathological process (Sharer et al, 1986). There is cell-to-cell communication between the HIV-infected monocytes and astrocytes, which establish an interaction that amplifies production of glial proliferatory and neurotoxic factors (Wiley et al, 1986; Brouwers et al, 1995).

A final common pathway in neuronal damage is due to excitatory amino acids, mediated through activation of the NMDA receptor. Implicating the NMDA receptor as the final common pathway for neuronal dysfunction, and ultimate loss, offers an explanation for the selection of a subpopulation of neurons responsible for the ‘subcortical’ dementia as well as for the reversibility of neurological signs seen early in the course of the disease in adults and children (Epstein and Gendelman, 1993). The changes are localised to sub-cortical structures, including deep white matter and basal ganglia, but may be found to some extent in the cortex (Belman et al, 1988; Rausch and Stover, 2001). A
striking finding in the brains of infected children is the presence of mineralisations consisting of calcium salts and iron in the basal ganglia and cerebral white matter (Belman et al, 1988; Rausch and Stover, 2001). Vascular inflammation is found frequently in children, and is characterised by lesions consisting of cuffing and infiltration of lymphocytes, monocytes and multinucleated giant cells into the walls of the small and medium sized parenchymal vessels (Rausch and Stover, 2001).

2.8 HIV Encephalopathy and Neurodevelopmental delay

HIV Encephalopathy and Neurodevelopmental delay in HIV will be discussed together, as there is no distinct difference in the literature reviewed, and the terms are used interchangeably in many instances.


Neurological and developmental signs are often markers of HIV-1 disease in infants which may precede other signs of disease progression (Belman, 1992, Bisiacchi et al, 2000). HIV-1 infection of the developing CNS of infants and children is characterised by either a progressive or static loss of previously acquired developmental milestones with cognitive, behavioural and motor manifestations (Belman et al, 1985; Epstein et al, 1986; Sharer et al, 1986; Belman et al, 1988; Udgikar et al, 2003) Significant delays in mental and motor development of HIV-infected infants have been found over the first two years of life (Gay et al, 1995; Chase et al, 2000; Smith et al, 2000; Blanchette et al, 2001). Such impairments are likely to become more severe as the children are expected to reach more complex and integrative milestones (Belman, 1992; Blanchette et al, 2001). Neural development does not cease
after two years of age, and therefore further delays can be expected to evolve over time, which may be important indicators of disease progression (Gay et al, 1995; Chase et al, 2000; Blanchette et al, 2001).

A variety of CNS abnormalities are associated with HIV infection. These include cortical atrophy, calcification of the basal ganglia and frontal white matter, ventricular enlargement, and white matter low attenuation (DeCarli et al, 1993; Wolters et al, 1995). The most important finding from a developmental perspective is that of myelinopathy. During infancy the brain is still experiencing a period of rapid myelination, which coincides with the attainment of significant motor, cognitive, and behavioural milestones. Any disruption in this process can be expected to produce developmental delays that may become more significant over time. In particular, myelination of the frontal and parietal regions of the brain continues throughout childhood and even into early adulthood. These regions are responsible for higher cortical functions, such as language, sequence and the integration of multiple stimuli, and destruction of the myelination processes of these areas by HIV will cause significant delays in higher functioning (Gay et al, 1995; Blanchette et al, 2001). The range of developmental deficits associated with subcortical damage is not yet well defined, but language deficits have been reported (Aram et al 1983; Belman, 1992). Since many of the more advanced developmental skills do not develop until after two years of age, delays can be expected in areas such as visual–motor processing, verbal memory, processing speed, and sequential processing.

Development of encephalopathy is one of the most severe complications of HIV, but its frequency at different ages has long been disputed (Tardieu et al, 1992). HIV encephalopathy can occur very early in the course of HIV infection, and 88.1% of children who develop it do so within first two years of life (Newell et al, 1998). This is more common in HIV-infected children who present in early infancy and have rapid progression, as they may have been infected in utero during the last weeks of pregnancy, which is the period of fastest brain growth (Newell et al, 1998).
A current definition of encephalopathy in paediatric HIV disease is: “The failure to attain or loss of developmental milestones or loss of intellectual ability, verified by standard developmental scales or neurophysiological tests” (Brady et al, 1995).

Several patterns of encephalopathy are recognised. a) A subacute progressive course (the most severe), b) plateau (followed by deterioration or improvement) or c) static/stable course (Belman, 1994).

Progressive encephalopathy (PE) appears as early as 6 months after birth and is one of the first manifestations of HIV disease in children (Schmitt et al, 1991; Working group of the American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force, 1991). PE consists of a well-characterised triad of symptoms: 1) impaired brain growth 2) progressive motor dysfunction 3) loss, plateau or inadequate rate of acquisition of neurodevelopmental milestones (Belman et al, 1988). There is a tremendous range in the manifestations of PE, much of which is due to timing of entry into the CNS in relation to brain development, viral virulence and host factors (Belman et al, 1988).

If the encephalopathic course is static, poor maternal nutrition, disturbed home environment, repeated periods of illness and hospital admissions all contribute to developmental delay and motor deficits (Ultmann et al, 1985). Since the most rapid brain growth occurs in the first four years of life, children infected at an older age are less likely to suffer the major neurocognitive deficits seen among HIV infected infants and young children. In general, there is strong evidence of neuropsychological deficits associated with symptomatic paediatric HIV disease (Fowler, 1994).

An initial pathophysiological hypothesis is that early encephalopathy may be the consequence of pre-natal HIV-1 infection of the brain, inducing a reduction in brain cell proliferation during late pregnancy, leading to decreases in intrauterine brain growth and parenchymal atrophy (Tornatore et al, 1994; Tardieu et al, 2000). Neurodevelopmental impairment may be a marker of overall host susceptibility (Ellis et al, 1997), as some infants may be more
susceptible to HIV-related CNS disease, resulting in faster progression and greater mortality rate. Infected monocytes with the ability to cross the blood-brain-barrier and adhere to neural cells may play a major role in the induction of HIV-1 related encephalopathy (Tardieu et al, 1992). An accumulation of the HIV-1 nef protein has been described in astrocytes from brains of children with early encephalopathy (Epstein and Gendelman, 1993), and the presence of viral protein in developing astrocytes may also limit their proliferation during late pregnancy.

A lower CD4 count and higher viral RNA load are associated with increased severity of disability, growth failure and slower attainment in milestones in infants and children with vertically transmitted HIV (Chase et al, 1995; Belman et al, 1996; Pollack et al, 1996; Pearson et al, 2000). Those children with AIDS defining illnesses in the first two years of life display very severe neurological and neurodevelopmental abnormalities (Nozyce et al, 1994; Belman et al, 1996; Chase et al, 2000; Pearson et al, 2000). The presence of hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, or lymphadenopathy in the first three months of life increase the likelihood of HIV encephalopathy and the risk of death 28-fold (Laufer et al, 2000).

2.9 Growth Delays and Neurodevelopment
There is an association between delays in growth and neurodevelopment, which are manifestations of perinatal HIV disease, particularly in children with symptomatic disease (Pollack et al, 1996). Infants with the most pronounced growth failure have the most marked cognitive and motor delay, which only become apparent later (Pollack et al, 1996). Growth delay seen in these children is directly related to HIV infection. The delays in linear growth and neurodevelopment occur at different times suggesting that they represent two separate effects of high HIV viral burden or load. When formally assessed, growth hormone (GH) levels in HIV infected children, even in those with growth failure, are usually normal (Laue et al, 1990; Lepage et al, 1991). Potential causes of altered growth in HIV-infected infants may be due to decreased peripheral sensitivity to GH, decreased levels of insulin-like growth factors (Matarazzo et al, 1994), or the release of cytokines secondary to HIV-1
infection which may then lead to an ineffective action of growth hormone (Pollack et al, 1996). Cytokines are especially attractive as mediators of decreased growth because of their rapid appearance during active HIV infection. IL-6 and MIP-1a have both been shown to be elevated during periods of rapid viral replication and also to have effects on bone activity (Cocchi et al, 1995; Fuller et al, 1995; Udagawa et al, 1995).

2.10 Indirect Effects of HIV Infection on Development

HIV infections may have an indirect effect on development in children. HIV infection may lead to a variety of problems which include gastrointestinal and nutritional manifestations (Winter & Miller, 1994). Gastrointestinal and nutritional manifestations are recognised as part of the clinical course of HIV. After deterioration of immune function from malnutrition or HIV, enteric pathogens may injure the intestinal mucosa causing malabsorption. Prolonged malabsorption leads to malnutrition that causes immunodeficiency, more rapid progression to AIDS, and increased infection by opportunistic pathogens (Winter & Miller, 1994). The most common reasons for hospital admissions in children with HIV are due to pneumonia and gastroenteritis (Meyers et al, 2000). Increased hospital admissions and length of hospital stay may lead to developmental delay in these children (Fiser et al, 2000; Cooper et al, 2004).

2.11 HIV and Cognitive Delay

HIV infected infants have cognitive impairment, CNS dysfunction and deficits in neuropsychological functioning, and often present with microcephally and mental retardation, with impairment in one or more functions (Belman et al, 1985; Ultmann et al, 1985; Epstein et al, 1986; Belman et al, 1988; Diamond et al, 1990; Belman, 1992; Fowler, 1994; Belman et al, 1996; Henry et al, 1996; Fragoso et al, 1999; Mazzoni et al, 2000). Only selective impairment of executive functions during the first stages of infection have been observed, followed by subsequent jeopardy of memory and visuo praxic functions in children with full-blown AIDS (Bisiacchi et al, 2000). This supports the idea that memory deficits are subsequent to attention deficits and not the result of memory impairments in themselves (Bisiacchi et al, 2000).
Deficits in visual scanning, academic achievement, cognitive flexibility and psychomotor speed have been found (Cohen et al, 1991), however, information processing abilities may be spared (Persaud et al, 1992; Tovo et al, 1996; Drotar et al, 1997). Cognitive developmental skills, for example, vocalisation, comprehension and puzzle performance are less powerfully affected showing effects at certain ages (Drotar et al, 1997).

Infected children with brain abnormalities perform worse than those without observable brain abnormalities on measures of cognitive and motor development. Common abnormalities are calcification of the basal ganglia, and the presence of intracerebral calcifications is associated with significantly greater delays in neurocognitive development (Brouwers et al, 1995). Further, it may be an indication that these children were infected in utero rather than during the intrapartum period (DeCarli et al, 1993; Brouwers et al, 1995).

2.12 HIV and Motor Delay

Motor function is compromised early in development in infants with HIV (Nozyce et al, 1994; Chase et al, 1995), and delays in motor development in HIV-infected children are seen as early as the first few months of life (Chase et al, 1995). Young children’s motor development, coordination, muscle tone, reflexes and strength are most consistently and strongly affected by HIV infection, and may result in spastic quadraparesis (Chase et al, 1995; Drotar et al, 1997). Dystonia, ataxia, tremor and rigor indicate extrapyramidal and cerebellar involvement (Belman et al, 1988, Chase et al, 1995). Focal motor deficits including diparesis, and mild spastic diparesis and diplegia with progressive long tract signs are seen (Belman et al, 1985; Belman et al, 1988; Belman, 1992; Belman et al, 1996; Fragoso et al, 1999; Mazzoni et al, 2000).

Children with early motor delays are at risk for disease progression, although motor delays have less impact on developmental outcome than early cognitive and language delays (Pearson et al, 2000). Children infected with HIV have lower motor strength, which may be related to CD4 count (Blanchette et al, 2002). Gross motor skills are delayed in HIV positive children, which may be due to the fact that gross movements require muscle groups and some degree
of physical effort, whereas fine movements are associated with more precise outputs but lower force. Therefore gross motor performance deficits may be related to an overall loss of strength (Parks and Danoff, 1999).

2.13 HIV and Language Delay

The neuropathogenesis of language problems in HIV is unknown (Wolters et al, 1995). Language is a complex construct, comprised of many interrelated components, any of which are subject to dysfunction (Rapin and Allen, 1983). Speech and language acquisition are sensitive to a variety of neurodevelopmental insults, including global cognitive delay, central disorders of language function or auditory perception, central or bulbar disorders of motor function (oromotor apraxia, dysarthria), and hearing loss. Thus, language acquisition in young children is a good barometer of CNS integrity in general (Coplan et al, 1998).

Language and social function are affected later in life and to a lesser degree than gross motor function in HIV infected infants, and these delays are either a reflection of the chronicity of the disease or a direct expression of CNS involvement (Msellati et al, 1993; Bisiacchi et al, 2000). HIV CNS disease in children is associated with deficits in both receptive and expressive language, although expressive language skills are more severely impaired (Epstein et al, 1986; Pizzo et al, 1988; Papola et al, 1994; Tardieu et al, 1995; Wolters et al, 1995; 1997). The impairments progress from weakness in expressive language in non-encephalopathic children to severe impairments in both receptive and expressive language in encephalopathic children. Damage to the basal ganglia may be associated with language dysfunction, particularly in the expressive modalities (Brunner et al, 1982; Wallesch et al, 1983; Wolters et al, 1997).

Language impairments are most likely associated with direct effects of HIV related CNS disease rather than the influence of environmental factors. Verbal expression is highly correlated with motor function, and therefore motor deficits, including those in muscle coordination and motor programming may affect oral-motor skills and contribute to feeding problems, articulation errors and speech difficulties (Wolters et al, 1997).
2.14 **Bayley Scales of Infant Development**

The Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID) were first published in 1969, with the age range for assessment being 2 – 30 months. The 2nd edition (BSID II) was published in 1993, when the age range was extended down to 1 month, and up to 42 months of age. The goals of the revision of the BSID were to incorporate research-based items that demonstrate predictive validity, to update the stimulus materials, to conduct reliability and validity studies, to report data from clinical populations of children, and to ensure a standardised assessment of children’s motor and mental performances (Black and Matula, 2000).

The BSID I and II provide overall standard scores for mental and motor development, although some attempts were made in the revision to provide more comprehensive coverage of all mandated areas of assessment (cognitive, language, social, self-help and motor). A revision of the norms on the BSID was needed, as there had been an upward drift of points on both the mental and motor scales, which may have indicated that the norms no longer reflected nutritional status, environmental conditions, and family relations of the time. Therefore an updated set of norms was needed, and as a result scores obtained on the BSID II are usually lower than those obtained for the same children on the BSID I (Black and Matula, 2000).

The percentage of language items has been increased in the BSID II, as language is a higher order cognitive process that plays a role in children’s cognitive development. The detection of language delay can signal neurological impairment, oral-motor impairment, general cognitive delay or environmental deprivation (Black and Matula, 2000). One of the primary uses of the Bayley Scales is to examine development of infants in whom delays are suspected (Black and Matula, 2000). The Bayley Scales have been found to be sensitive to developmental changes in the first two years of life of infants who are medically fragile (Niccols et al, 2002). This supports the clinical validity of the Scales, which can be applied to those with HIV, and the BSID has been used in a number of studies which look at development in HIV positive infants (Nozyce et al, 1994; Chase et al, 1995; Belman et al, 1996; Chase et al, 2000).
Scores are obtained in three areas: Motor, Mental and Behavioural. The raw scores obtained in the motor and mental areas are converted to standard scores, which indicate the extent of the infant’s development. These are the Mental Developmental Index (MDI) and Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI) scores, which have a mean of 100, and a standard deviation of 15, in keeping with most other assessments of cognitive performance (Black and Matula, 2000). The BSID II also contains Facet Scores (Appendix IV), which place items from the Mental and Motor Scales into four facets: Cognitive, Motor, Language and Social, and can be used for descriptive analysis of these skills. The item lists are found on pg 352 – 358 of the BSID II Manual (Bayley, 1993) (Appendix V), and the Facet Scores are found in the Mental Scale Record Form.

The BSID I was normed on a South African population, taken from both urban and rural areas, and was found to be suitable for use on South African infants (Richter and Griesel, 1988). The South African infants scored above the American infants up to 10 months of age on both the Mental and Motor Scales, and from 10 months, up to the middle of the second year, the groups obtained very similar raw scores (Appendix VI). The trend across the whole age range in this South African sample was for urban children to score higher than rural children on both the mental and motor scales, although this was not statistically significant across the age range (Richter and Griesel, 1988).

After examining the literature, it is clear that a need exists for more African studies to be performed, in order to look at the effects of HIV on neurodevelopment, specifically on motor, cognitive and language development. The BSID is the most widely used measure of early development (Black and Matula, 2000), and therefore this tool would be most suitable for use in such a study, allowing comparisons to be made to similar studies done in other parts of the world.
Chapter 3: METHODS

In this chapter, the methodology used in this research report will be presented. Demographic information will be presented first, followed by information on the assessment tool used. The data presented in this study is a secondary analysis of data collected for an ongoing longitudinal study namely: “A Longitudinal Study of Neurodevelopmental Delay in HIV Infected Children” conducted by Joanne Potterton.

3.1 Location
This study was conducted at the Harriet Shezi Children’s HIV Clinic at Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Gauteng, South Africa. Children who are suspected of being HIV positive, or who are already enrolled in the clinic, attend appointments with doctors and primary health care sisters. Patients attending this clinic are from similar socio-economic and cultural backgrounds.

3.2 Ethical Clearance
Prior to commencement of data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from the Committee for Research on Human Subjects of the University of the Witwatersrand (Clearance number: M03-05-68) (Appendix II)

3.3 Sample Selection
The data for 40 consecutive HIV Positive Infants between 18 and 30 months of age, who were not on antiretrovirals were analysed. Informed consent had been obtained from the caregivers prior to assessment (Appendix III)

3.3.1 Inclusion Criteria
- Children with vertically transmitted HIV
- 18 – 30 months of age
- Children with a primary caregiver
- Antiretroviral naïve children
3.3.2 Exclusion Criteria
- Children with clinically apparent abnormalities
- Prematurity (<37 weeks)
- Children resident in institutions

3.4 The Study Population
The data from 40 HIV positive infants fitting the inclusion criteria, who had been assessed using the Bayley Scales of Infant Development II were analysed. The children came mainly from Soweto and surrounding areas, and thus had similar socio-economic and educational backgrounds. All children assessed were black, and most were brought to the clinic by their mothers or grandmothers.

3.5 Assessment tool
The Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID II) was the assessment tool of choice, as one of its primary uses is to examine development of infants who are suspected to be delayed (Black and Matula, 2000). The BSID is sensitive to developmental changes in the first two years of life in infants who are medically fragile (Niccols et al, 2002). It has been validated for use on black South African infants (Richter and Griesel, 1988). The BSID II can be used to assess infants from birth to 42 months.

3.6 Procedure
Infants between the ages of 18 and 30 months attending the Harriet Shezi HIV clinic at Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital were assessed in order to obtain the data analysed in this study. The infant’s date of birth was obtained from the clinic folder, and suitability for recruitment was gauged from the birth history and family information. The infant and caregiver were approached and given a form, in a choice of Zulu, Sotho, or English, which explained the study and requested participation (Appendix III). Nursing staff and clinic counsellors were available for translation if required.

Once written consent had been obtained, the infant was seated at a child-sized table and chair in a separate area from the main waiting room to minimise
distractions and disruptions. The infant was first evaluated on the Mental Scale and then the Motor Scale. The infants were assessed by the same examiner in order to standardise the procedure. The test was started at the child’s chronological age, and the examiner moved back to a younger age only if the child obtained less than 5 credits within the age subset.

The data collected from 40 consecutive HIV positive children were then analysed as follows: The raw score and developmental age were calculated for the Mental and Motor Scales using the table on pg. 72 – 73 of Black and Matula (2000) (Appendix VIII). The Mental Developmental Index (MDI) and Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI) were calculated from the raw scores on the Mental and Motor Scales. The Facet Scores (Appendix IV) were developed to provide information about the infant’s performance in the language, cognitive, motor and social areas of development, and were used descriptively in this study, to obtain an indication of language, gross and fine motor function. Social development was not evaluated in this study due to the lack of items on the Facet Scores. Age categories were used to determine whether developmental problems begin to manifest at certain ages. The infants were divided into two groups: 18 – 24 months, and 25 – 30 months, and the age categories were compared in language, motor and cognitive function.

The infant’s socio-economic and family background information was obtained from the caregiver using a short questionnaire, in a choice of English, Zulu or Sotho (Appendix VII). This was used to obtain the level of the caregiver’s education, the number of people living in the household, and the monthly household income. This information was descriptively analysed.

3.7 Statistical Analysis

All the data collected were analysed by the Medical Research Council of South Africa. A sample size of 40 HIV positive children aged 18 – 30 months has at least 90% power to detect under-performance of 1 month when a standard deviation of 2 months is assumed. Descriptive analysis of language, fine motor, and gross motor function was performed using the facet scores of the BSID II. Cognitive and Motor developmental age of HIV-positive infants
were compared to age group norms using the T-test. A Fischer’s Exact Test was used to compare age categories in cognitive, motor, and language function. The software was Intercooled Stata. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance in this research report.

The following factors may affect the generalisability of the study:
The inclusion criteria were quite specific, and the sample was homogenous (i.e. black infants from similar socioeconomic backgrounds), and therefore the results may not be generalisable to other populations. This was a single centre study undertaken in a specialised HIV unit in Soweto, Gauteng, and therefore the results may be difficult to reproduce in a setting other than an HIV unit, and cannot be generalised to multiple centres. The adequate sample size of 40 infants was arrived at through a power calculation, which may enhance the generalisability of the study.
Chapter 4: Results

In chapter 4, the results of this study will be presented. The data from 40 subjects were analysed.

4.1 Age

The age group of the sample fell between 18 and 30 months, with the mean age being 25 months.

4.2 Socioeconomic background

A demographic questionnaire was drawn up to obtain an idea of the infant’s socioeconomic background (Appendix VII). The areas to be determined were: level of primary caregiver education, the number of people living in the house, and the monthly household income.
4.2.1 Level of Caregiver Education

The percentage of primary caregivers who had obtained grade 12 (matric) was only 22%. Eight percent had no education, and 13% had not obtained an education higher than Grade 8 (Std 6).

![Level of Caregiver Education](image)

Figure 4.2: Level of Caregiver Education of the sample

4.2.2 Number of People in a Household

Ten percent of the sample had more than 10 people in a household, and the maximum number of people in one household in this sample was 16. Therefore, 48% of the sample live in households consisting of more than 5 people

![Number of People in a Household](image)

Figure 4.3: Number of people in a household
4.2.3 Monthly Household Income

As can be seen from Figure 4.4 below, only 3% have a monthly household income of more than R2000. Fifty-two percent of the sample have a monthly household income of less than R1000. When analysed together with the number of people per household, these results indicate that the socioeconomic status of the sample is very low.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Household Income</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than R2000 per month</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1500 - R2000</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1000 - R1499</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R500 - R999</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R200 - R499</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than R200</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.4: Monthly household income

4.3 Cognitive Development

The infant’s cognitive developmental age was calculated from the infant’s raw score obtained on the Mental Scale of the BSID II, using the table on pg 72 – 73 in Black and Matula (2000) (Appendix VIII). The cognitive developmental age was then used to calculate the infant’s cognitive delay in relation to the chronological age. Mean chronological age was 25.33 months, and mean cognitive developmental age was 17.7 months, revealing that mean cognitive developmental age is 7.63 months lower than chronological age. A paired T-test was performed between the chronological age, and cognitive developmental age of all 40 infants, and the difference was statistically significant (p<0.001), indicating that cognitive developmental age is significantly lower than chronological age.
As can be seen on the above graph, the cognitive developmental age of almost all the infants in the sample lies below the chronological age. This indicates that 39 out of 40 (97.5%) infants are functioning below the expected cognitive age for their chronological age.

The infant’s Mental Developmental Index (MDI) score was calculated from the raw score obtained by the infant on the mental scale of the BSID II. This is done by turning to Appendix A in the BSID II manual (Bayley, 1993), and using the Norm Table that corresponds to the infant’s chronological age. In cases where the MDI fell below 50, the table on pg. 75 of Black and Matula, (2000) (Appendix IX) which contains extrapolated scores for an MDI of <50, was used to calculate the MDI. The MDI score can be used to place the child’s development on a Bell Curve in terms of extent of delay as shown below.
The results obtained are illustrated by the pie chart below:
4 children (10%) were developing within normal limits,
8 infants (20%) were mildly delayed and
28 infants (70%) were significantly delayed.

An attempt was made to analyse mental development in terms of age group, in order to determine the age at which developmental problems begin to manifest. The sample was divided into two age categories: 18 – 24 months and 25 – 30 months. It was not possible to analyse this within cognitive development, as the variation in mental developmental ages was too great to perform a Fischer’s Exact Test.
4.4 Motor Development

Motor developmental age was calculated from the raw score obtained on the Motor Scale of the BSID II, using the table on pg 72-73 of Black and Matula (2000) (Appendix VIII). Mean chronological age was 25.33 months, and mean motor developmental age was 15.675 months, indicating that motor age is delayed by 9.65 months. The results of the paired T-test are statistically significant (p<0.001) indicating that motor developmental age is significantly lower than chronological age. Motor development is more delayed than cognitive development.

![Motor Development Age vs. Chronological Age](image)

Figure 4.8: Motor developmental age vs. chronological age

The graph shows that all but one infant’s motor developmental ages lie below chronological age. Therefore, 97.5% of the infants have motor developmental ages that are below chronological age.

The infant’s Psychomotor Developmental Index (PDI) score was calculated from the raw score obtained by the infant on the motor scale of the BSID II. This is found in the Norms table in Appendix A, of the BSID-II manual (Bayley, 1993). In cases where the PDI fell below 50, the table on pg. 76 of Black and Matula, (2000) (Appendix IX), which contains extrapolated scores for a PDI<50, was used to calculate the PDI. The PDI score is then placed on
the Bell Curve (Figure 4.6), in order to analyse the severity of motor developmental delay.

1 infant (2.5%) showed accelerated performance
4 infants (10%) were developing within normal limits
4 infants (10%) were mildly delayed and
31 infants (77.5%) were significantly delayed

Figure 4.9: Breakdown of motor development of the sample

An attempt was made to analyse motor development in terms of age group in order to determine the age at which developmental problems begin to manifest. The sample was divided into two age categories: 18 – 24 months and 25 – 30 months. It was not possible to analyse this within motor development, as the variation in motor developmental ages was too great to perform a Fischer’s Exact Test.

Motor development was further analysed in terms of fine motor development and gross motor development. This was analysed descriptively using the facet scores of the BSID II, which place items from the BSID II into language, motor, and mental scales for further analysis (Appendix IV).
The results were as follows:

1 (2.5%) child was not delayed in gross or fine motor development at all
5 (12.5%) children were delayed in fine motor skills
34 (85%) delayed in gross motor skills

Therefore gross motor delay seemed to be prominent in this sample.

An attempt was made to analyse gross motor function in terms of chronological age categories, in order to determine whether delays manifest at certain ages. The sample was divided into 2 categories of 18 – 24 months, and 25 – 30 months. A Fischer’s Exact Test was performed between the age categories, for gross motor ability and there was no statistical significance (p = 0.296) indicating that there is no difference in onset of gross motor delay between earlier and later age groups.

4.5 Language development

Language delay was descriptively analysed using language items from the BSID II Mental Scale, and placing them on the Facet Scores (Appendix IV and V). It was possible to descriptively analyse global language delay, but it was not possible to break language down into expressive and receptive components, as there are too few specific items on the BSID II to accurately analyse this. Out of 40 infants, 33 were delayed in global language development, i.e. 82.5% of the sample.

Language development was analysed in terms of age category (18 – 24 months vs. 25 – 30 months), in order to determine whether delays begin to manifest at
certain ages, by performing a Fischer’s Exact Test. Again, there was no statistical significance (p=0.529), indicating that there is no difference in language delays between earlier and later age groups.
Chapter 5: DISCUSSION

In this chapter, the results obtained in this study are discussed. The results will be compared to those recorded in previous studies. The implications and limitations of this study are highlighted, and recommendations are made.

5.1 Bayley Scales of Infant Development II

One of the primary uses of the Bayley Scales is to examine development of infants who are suspected of being delayed (Black and Matula, 2000). The BSID is the most widely used tool for developmental assessment in studies, and has been shown to be valid and reliable (Long & Cintas, 1995). The Bayley Scale has been found to be sensitive to developmental changes in the first two years of life of infants who are medically fragile (Niccols et al, 2002). The scale is clinically valid, and can be applied to those with HIV (Black and Matula, 2000, Niccols et al, 2002). The BSID has been used in a number of studies which looked at development in HIV positive infants (Nozyce et al, 1994; Chase et al, 1995; Belman, 1996; Chase 2000; Llorente 2003).

In this study, the BSID II was used on a group of infants who were suspected of being delayed, and the results confirm that they are delayed in language, motor and cognitive development. The BSID II is a sensitive, valid and reliable developmental assessment tool, and therefore was the most appropriate tool to employ in order to look at motor and mental development. The BSID was normed on a group of South African infants in 1988 by Richter and Griesel, who obtained very similar raw scores to the American norms on both mental and motor scales, which indicates that this scale is suitable for use in South Africa. Although the BSID II has an increased number of language items, it is not primarily a language assessment tool, and therefore could not be used to look at language development in more depth.
5.2 The Effect of HIV on development

5.2.1 Cognitive Development

HIV infected infants have cognitive impairment, CNS dysfunction and deficits in neuropsychological functioning, and often present with microcephally and mental retardation, with impairment in one or more functions (Belman et al, 1985; Ultmann et al, 1985; Epstein et al, 1986; Belman et al, 1988; Diamond et al, 1990; Belman, 1992; Fowler, 1994; Belman et al, 1996; Henry et al, 1996; Fragoso et al, 1999; Mazzoni et al, 2000). Infected children with brain abnormalities perform worse on measures of cognitive and motor development (Brouwers et al, 1995). Further, it may be an indication that these children were infected in utero rather than during the intrapartum period (DeCarli et al, 1993; Brouwers et al, 1995).

Exposing infants to varying environments has a significant impact on their development and rates of learning. In the first year of life, infants have the potential to develop means-end skills to solve problems by manipulation and exploration if given the opportunity. Increased motor capacity could have important ramifications for the development of tool use, means-end behaviours and other problem solving tasks, or overall cognitive development. Children are able to apply what they learn in one situation to other situations (Bojczk and Corbetta, 2004).

The results of this study show that cognitive development was delayed, which was statistically significant (p<0.001), and mean cognitive developmental age was 7.63 months below mean chronological age. Furthermore 70% of this population was significantly delayed according to their MDI scores, although cognitive development is not as delayed as motor function. There are two factors which could explain the cognitive delay seen in this population:

1) The impact of HIV on the CNS could lead to structural changes in the brain, and therefore lead to cognitive delay. It was not within the scope of this study to look at brain imaging, and therefore, although it has been widely found that HIV infects the CNS at or near the time of seroconversion, it is not possible to state this as a definitive cause for cognitive delay.
2) The second factor could be due to the socioeconomic backgrounds of these infants, and the unstimulating homes that the infants find themselves in. This is explained in the findings of Bojczk and Corbetta, (2004), in that infants need to be exposed to situations and tasks in order to learn how to perform them, and to apply these skills to other situations. Many of the tasks in the BSID II are problem-solving based, and therefore, because the task is brand new, the infant does not have the skills to solve the problem. It would be interesting to re-test the infants after exposing them to the task once, and teaching them to solve the problem, to note whether the inability to complete the task is due to cognitive delay or lack of means-end skills. The direct effect of socioeconomics on development is discussed in more detail below.

5.2.2 Motor Development

Young children’s motor development, coordination, muscle tone and reflexes are most consistently and strongly affected by HIV infection (Drotar, 1997). The delay is either a reflection of the chronicity of the disease or a direct expression of CNS involvement (Msellati et al, 1993, Bisiacchi et al, 2000). Motor function is compromised early in development in infants with HIV and it is mainly gross motor skills that are delayed (Nozyce et al, 1994; Chase et al, 1995). This may be that gross movements require muscle groups and some degree of physical effort, whereas fine movements are associated with more precise outputs but lower force. Therefore gross motor performance deficits may be related to an overall loss of strength (Parks et al, 1999).

It was determined in this study that infants were delayed in motor development, which was statistically significant (p<0.001), and mean motor developmental age was 9.65 months below mean chronological age. Furthermore, 77.5% of the sample is significantly delayed according to their PDI scores. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Chase et al, (1995), Nozyce et al, (1994) and Parks et al, (1999). On descriptive analysis, gross motor function was found to be affected in 85% of the population, whereas fine motor function was only affected in 12.5%. This is in agreement with the results found by Parks et al, (1999). Activities involving anti-gravity muscle strength were particularly delayed, such as jumping, stair-climbing and
standing up from sitting. These results could be due to decreased overall strength, or due to repeated hospital admissions from illness, leading to setbacks in development.

5.2.3 Language Development

The neuropathogenesis of language problems in HIV is unknown (Wolters et al, 1995). Speech and language acquisition are sensitive to a variety of neurodevelopmental insults, including global cognitive delay, central disorders of language function or auditory perception, central or bulbar disorders of motor function (oromotor apraxia, dysarthria), and hearing loss. Language acquisition in young children is therefore a good barometer of CNS integrity in general (Coplan et al, 1998). HIV CNS disease in children is associated with deficits in both receptive and expressive language, although expressive language skills are more severely impaired (Epstein, 1986; Pizzo, 1988; Nozyce et al, 1994; Papola, 1994; Tardieu, 1995; Wolters, 1995; Blanchette et al, 2001). Verbal expression is highly correlated to motor function, thus motor deficits, including those in muscle coordination and motor programming may affect oral-motor skills and contribute to feeding problems, articulation errors and speech difficulties (Wolters et al, 1997).

The results of this study confirm that children with HIV have language delays, and descriptive analysis of the data revealed that 82.5% of the sample have delays in language development. Language delay can signal neurological impairment, oral-motor impairment, general cognitive delay or environmental deprivation (Black and Matula, 2000). In this study, the language delays could be attributed to any one of the above-mentioned factors. The infants may have structural damage to the brain caused by HIV CNS infection, and there is cognitive impairment in this sample (discussed above), which may interfere with language development.

The results of this study are similar to findings by Webster et al, (2005), who found a relationship between gross motor and communication performance, suggesting that factors critical to gross motor function may also lead to language impairment.
The development of language is built on early interactions with caregivers and is augmented later by the presence of a rich, conversational environment (Bishop, 2000). The findings by Bishop, (2000) indicate that the size of a two-year old’s vocabulary is dependent on how much of the mother’s speech the infant has sampled. This population was globally delayed in language, which could be due to the fact that the caregivers may not be at home with the child due to work, or are too ill to interact much with the child, and therefore the child is not hearing enough speech to increase vocabulary size.

The nature of the BSID II is such, that it is not possible to break language into expressive and receptive components, as there are too few items. Therefore, it was not possible to determine which component was more affected in this sample, but based on the work of Wolters et al, (1995) and (1997), one would expect that expressive language would be more affected than receptive language.

5.3 Age

The age range used in this study (18 – 30 months) was not as wide as those in similar studies (Msellati 1993; Nozyce et al, 1994; Chase et al, 1995; Drotar et al, 1997; Chase et al, 2000; Llorente et al, 2003), as most of these studies were long-term follow up studies and therefore had a wide age range for continuous assessment. The nature of the present study was a once-off assessment format, and the age-range was chosen to ensure that the objectives could be met. It was an objective of this study to try to determine whether developmental problems manifest at certain ages, and therefore age categories were drawn up: (18 – 24 months) and (25 – 30 months), and a Fischer’s Exact Test was used to compare these two groups. There was no statistical significance for language (p=0.529) and gross motor delays (p=0.296), indicating that delays are present throughout the age categories. It was not possible to determine this objective within Cognitive and overall Motor development, as there were too many factors for analysis by Fischer’s Exact Test. One reason for the lack of significance in language and gross motor delays may be due to the fact that the
distribution of the ages was not equal and therefore, there were more children in the 25 – 30 month category. A wider age-range on a larger sample is needed in order to determine this objective.

5.4 Skill Most Adversely Affected

Motor Development, specifically gross motor, was found to be the skill most adversely affected in infants with HIV; which is in agreement with results from previous studies (Msellati, 1993; Chase et al, 1995; Nozyce et al, 1994, Drotar, 1997). As discussed above, this could be attributed to an overall loss of strength due to illness.

5.5 Other Factors Affecting Development

Coscia et al, (2001) found that home environment was found to mediate the association between socioeconomic status and child Intelligence Quotient (IQ), and therefore home environment can either serve as a protective factor or risk factor for the negative effects of poverty on cognitive functioning. It has also been found that children with HIV-1 living in poverty also live in less stimulating and supportive home environments and, therefore, are more at risk for developmental problems (Coscia et al, 2001). Disease severity also appears to magnify the effects of the environment on child cognitive functioning. Specific aspects of disease severity, such as CNS integrity may account for the stronger association between the home environment and cognitive function of the child. Therefore, children who have CNS impairment may be at greater risk for more negative secondary cognitive outcome secondary to a less stimulating environment (Coscia et al, 2001). Duncan et al, (1994) found that family income is a far more powerful correlate of IQ at age 5 than measures such as maternal education and ethnicity. The effects of persistent poverty on IQ are twice as large as the effects of transient poverty.

As indicated in Fig. 4.4, the socioeconomic status of this sample is low, which clearly has an effect on cognitive development. Most households consisted of more than 5 people, and the household income per month is very low in all cases. The home environment in this sample may serve as a risk factor for the
negative effects of poverty on cognitive function which, in addition to disease severity, will have a huge impact on development. Only 22% of caregivers had obtained matric and no caregivers had tertiary qualifications, showing that educational level in this sample is very low. This could indicate a poor home learning environment which would affect cognitive development. This would need to be further investigated with home visits. In addition to a poor socioeconomic set-up, and home environment, none of these infants were on antiretrovirals, which could indicate that disease progression is occurring. All of these factors are likely to impact hugely on cognitive development.

For children growing up in poverty, physical and mental development is intertwined (Bhargava, 1998). As this study has clearly shown, both mental and motor development are delayed which could also be affected by the socioeconomic factors affecting these infants.

5.6 Limitations of the study
- Language barriers could affect some of the items and the child’s understanding of what is being asked of them.
- The BSID II is not primarily a language tool, and therefore it is not possible to look at expressive and receptive language, as has been done in the literature.
- The age range was not wide enough or equally distributed to determine at which ages problems begin to manifest.
- It would be interesting to look at CNS involvement in these children to see whether the delays are due to strength, socioeconomics or structural problems.
- The BSID I has been validated and normed for black South African children, but the BSID II has not, therefore it would be of value to have the BSID II normed for this population.

5.7 Implications of the Findings
The results of this study indicate that HIV positive children are delayed in at least three areas of development: motor, cognitive and language. These problems are seen from as early as 18 months of age and most infants are significantly delayed in these areas. This indicates a need for early
developmental assessment in this population, as it can be expected that most infants with HIV are delayed. In the clinic where the data were collected there is no involvement of allied health professionals aside from speech therapists, who have set up a screening programme. These results indicate that physiotherapists and possibly psychologists should also be involved in order to facilitate motor and cognitive development in these infants.

Due to the poor socioeconomic situations of many of the infants, parents cannot be expected to provide cognitively stimulating homes for these children due to financial and educational constraints, and therefore could benefit from assistance in this area.

5.8 Recommendations Based on Results

- Infants with HIV are known to be delayed in motor, cognitive and language development and should therefore be screened early for signs of delay.
- Therapists, including educational psychologists, should be involved in wards and clinics that these children attend in order to provide developmental assistance and education to parents in gross motor, language and cognitive capacities. This indicates a need for government policy change, as, at present, there is no staffing requirement for allied health professionals in HIV units, which indicates a lack of awareness in this aspect. There is a comprehensive treatment plan (Department of Health, 2003) which covers opportunistic infections, nutrition, life skills and education, but there is no mention of developmental problems in children. This research could assist in increasing awareness on this subject, and indicate the need for policy change regarding this subject.
- Simple home programmes could be effective in this population in making parents more aware of what milestones their infants should be reaching and assisting them in achieving them.
5.9 **Recommendations in terms of further research**

- A prospective neurological study would be useful to determine the progression of delay in a sample similar to this one.
- There have been few studies targeting HIV positive children of school-going age to determine their neurological status and whether the effects HIV on the CNS lead to academic and neurological problems in older children.
- A study which incorporates developmental assessment as well as brain imaging to determine the effects of HIV on the CNS would be useful in isolating the causes of the delays seen.
Chapter 6: Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to examine motor, mental and language development in 40 HIV positive infants between the ages of 18 and 30 months. All subjects were from similar socioeconomic backgrounds, and attend the Harriet Shezi HIV clinic at Baragwanath Hospital, Soweto. The assessment tool used was the motor and mental scales of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development.

The findings of this study support previous research which has shown that children with HIV have significant delays in mental and motor development. In addition, language is delayed in most children with HIV. It was not within the scope of this study to determine the effects of HIV on the brain matter of these infants, and therefore it is not possible to say whether the results are due to encephalopathy or other factors such as weakness, socioeconomic background or limitations of the assessment tool.

Motor development is most severely affected, specifically gross motor development which could be due to decreased strength in these children. Problems with language development are also seen, which could be due to CNS involvement, or due to oral-motor problems which stem from decreased muscle strength. Cognitive delays could be affected by CNS involvement, as well as socioeconomic problems encountered in this population. These findings are in keeping with studies done in other parts of the world. Therefore, this indicates that developmental delay in HIV positive infants is a global problem, and early developmental assessment, and intervention based on the results is crucial in the management of these patients. The results of this study are important for therapists, particularly those involved in motor and language rehabilitation, as an awareness of potential problems in these infants is needed in order to provide them with the best management and care possible.
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APPENDIX I: Research Proposal
THE EXTENT OF DELAY OF LANGUAGE, MOTOR AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT IN HIV POSITIVE INFANTS

Nicole Baillieu BSc (Physiotherapy)

Supervisors: Joanne Potterton MSc (Physiotherapy),
Lecturer: University of the Witwatersrand
Karen Rothbart MSc (Physiotherapy)

INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY

In 2001 it was estimated that in South Africa, 4.7 million people were living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), of whom 189,000 were babies. Based on extrapolation of the results of this 2002 antenatal survey, the Department of Health estimated that 5.3 million South Africans were HIV positive by the end of 2002. It is estimated that 91,271 babies were infected with HIV during 2002 (250 a day) by mother-to-child transmission. (Department of Health, 2002)

Almost 20 years have elapsed since the original description of the assault of HIV on the central nervous system. (Navia et al, 1986). The nervous system is among the most frequent and serious targets of HIV (Simpson et al, 1996). Involvement of the nervous system in HIV-infected patients can come about as a result of direct HIV action, opportunistic infection caused by the immunodeficiency, or both (Fragoso et al, 1999). The pathogenesis of brain injury in HIV-1 infected patients remains incompletely understood, and is confounded by factors such as timing of infection, variable treatments, and lack of access to brain tissue for evaluation. (Fuller et al, 2004).

The most frequent manifestations of HIV-associated progressive encephalopathy are: cognitive impairment, developmental delays, corticospinal tract lesions, acquired microcephaly, movement disorders, and ataxia. Progressive motor dysfunction is common, and often results in a loss of milestones (Belman 1992).

It has been found that HIV-positive children have significantly greater neurological dysfunction in eight domains (activity, language, cranial nerve, fine motor, gross motor, cerebellar, sensory and primitive reflexes) than their HIV negative counterparts (Belman et al, 1996). Chase et al (1995) and Pearson et al (2000) found that a lower CD4 count, and viral RNA load were associated with severity of disability, and that slower attainment in milestones in both motor and mental development is associated with vertically transmitted HIV infection in infants and children younger than 30 months.

Significant delays in mental and motor development of HIV-infected infants have been found over the first two years of life (Gay et al, 1995; Smith et al, 2000). Because neural development does not cease after 2 years of age, further delays can be expected to evolve over time. In particular, myelination of the frontal and parietal regions of the brain continues throughout childhood and even into early adulthood. These regions are responsible for higher cortical functions, and destruction of the
myelination processes of these areas by HIV will cause significant delays in higher functioning.

The neuropathogenesis of language problems in HIV is unknown (Wolters et al, 1995). They found that children with HIV had language problems, with expressive language significantly lower than receptive language, and that damage to the basal ganglia may be associated with language dysfunction, particularly in the expressive modalities. They also found that verbal expression was highly correlated to motor function, thus motor deficits, including those in muscle coordination and motor programming may affect oral-motor skills and contribute to feeding problems, articulation errors and speech difficulties (Wolters et al, 1997).

Identification of HIV-1 infected infants at greatest risk for disease-related mortality is crucial to their survival, making delays in performance on early neurodevelopmental measures plausible predictors of mortality (Llorente et al, 2003). Results of their longitudinal study indicate that HIV-infected infants, with lower Mental Developmental Indices or Psychomotor Developmental Indices on neurodevelopmental Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID II) scores at a baseline of 4 months of age, are at a greater risk of mortality than infants with higher scores.

The Bayley scales of Infant Development were published in 1969, and the 2nd edition in 1993, when the age range was extended down to 1 month of age, and up to 42 months. One of the primary uses of the Bayley scales is to examine development of infants who are suspected to be delayed (Black and Matala, 2000). The Bayley Scale has been found to be sensitive to developmental changes in the first two years of life of infants who are medically fragile (Niccols et al, 2002). Their findings support the clinical validity of the scale, which can be applied to those with HIV.

There has been very little research conducted in Africa regarding the extent of delay of language, motor, cognitive and social development in HIV positive infants. Overall development of HIV infected infants has been found to be delayed, but there is a need for the assessment of the above-mentioned skills to determine any emerging trends, to facilitate a better understanding of where the biggest developmental problems lie. Treatment regimens could then be formulated to target these areas specifically, in order to prevent delay and further deterioration.

**GENERAL AIM OF THE STUDY:**

The main aim of this study is to determine the extent of delay in acquisition of language, cognitive, social and motor skills of HIV positive children.

**STUDY OBJECTIVES:**

- to assess the development of language, cognitive and motor skills in HIV positive infants.
- to determine the emerging trends in neurodevelopmental delay in HIV infected children
- to determine the skill that is most adversely affected by HIV infection
- to attempt to determine the age at which developmental problems begin to manifest
HYPOTHESIS THAT WILL BE TESTED:

Null hypothesis: children will reach developmental milestones on time.
Ho: mean development=0 (null hypothesis is that mean development is delayed by 0 months)

The alternate hypothesis is that they will be delayed.
H1: mean development <-1 (alternate hypothesis, mean development is delayed by more than a month)

RESEARCH DESIGN:

The study will be cross-sectional

SUBJECTS:

Population
HIV positive children between the ages of 18 months and 30 months attending the Harriet Shezi HIV clinic at Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital.

Inclusion Criteria:
-Children with vertically transmitted HIV
-18 – 30 months of age
-children with a primary caregiver
-Antiretroviral naïve children

Exclusion criteria:
-children with clinically apparent abnormalities
-prematurity (<37 weeks)
-children resident in institutions

Sample size determination

A sample of 40 HIV positive children aged 18 – 30 months will have at least 90% power to detect an under-performance of 1 month when a standard deviation of 2 months is assumed (i.e.12/6 = 2 where 12 months is the maximum underperformance) for their cognitive, language and motor development, when using a one-sided single sample t-test at the 0.05 level of significance.

METHODS:

Measurement instruments
Bayley Scales of Infant Development II will be used to determine performance in each section of the child’s age group. These results will then be transferred to the facet scoring section. The facet scores analyse in greater detail, with respect to Cognitive, language, social and motor development.

Reliability of the study will be ensured through consistent use of the BSID II, and researchers will be trained in administration of this test. The validity of the study can be measured in the relevance of the BSID II, which is internally and externally valid.
Baseline BSID II assessments of HIV infected children currently enrolled in a longitudinal study of neurodevelopmental delay will be analysed to determine which facets of development are most delayed.

PROCEDURE:

Recruitment

The subjects who will take part in the study will be those children meeting the inclusion criteria, and who fall into the correct age group, and will be taken from the Harriet Shezi HIV clinic at Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital in Soweto. They will form part of a sample of convenience taken from the clinic. A letter stating the purpose of the study, and asking the caregiver’s permission to look at records will be given.

Data collection:

This will take place on Mondays and Fridays at the HIV clinic, and ideally, would hope to recruit 4 children a week. This would mean 10 weeks of data collection to make up the sample size of 40. The mental and motor indices of the BSID II will be administered to each child who is recruited, and will take approximately 1 hour. These results will be transferred to the facet scoring system of the BSID II, which analyse language, motor and cognitive skills in greater detail.

DATA ANALYSIS:

Statistical tests:
The primary hypothesis will be tested using a one-sided, one sample t-test at the 0.05 level of significance where:
Ho: mean development=0 (null hypothesis is that mean development is delayed by 0 months)
H1: mean development <-1 (alternate hypothesis, mean development is delayed by more than a month)
With mean development 0 meaning at least age group norm, and –1 denotes 1 month delayed.

Children will be considered to be delayed if milestones are delayed by 1 month or more. The distribution of delay will be analysed using the facet scores of the BSID II.

ETHICS:

Ethical clearance has been obtained. (M03-05-68)

CONCLUSION

HIV is a serious problem in children in South Africa, and has been shown to cause developmental delay. This study aims to analyse the extent and distribution of the delay.
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APPENDIX III: Informed Consent
APPENDIX III

INFORMATION SHEET

Dear parent/caregiver,

Good morning and thank you for taking the time to read this information. My name is Joanne Potten ton and I am a physiotherapist. I am busy doing some research to find out more about the development of children with HIV and the stress of the person caring for the child with HIV. If your child meets the criteria for the study then I would like to ask you whether you and your child would join my study. I am doing this study to try to find the best way to treat children who have got HIV and to see whether we can do anything to make your stress about caring for your child less.

I will do an assessment of what your child can do every 6 months from now until your child is 3 and a half years old. This will tell me how well your child is developing. I will also ask you to fill in a questionnaire to find out more about the stress you feel as a parent/caregiver. I will do this at your normal clinic visits. The assessment of your child will take about one hour and it will take you about 15 minutes to fill in the questionnaire. I will also look in your child’s file to get the results of their last blood tests.

I will divide the children into 2 groups. Both groups will come to see me every 3 months. I will pay transport for the extra visits. Group one will have their height, weight and their heads measured. Group 2 will also have their height, weight and their heads measured. Their parent/caregiver will also be given a programme to do with their child at home to stimulate them. I am doing this to try and find out the best way to care for children who have got HIV.

Nothing that I do will hurt your child and all your information will be kept private. If you do not want to be part of this study you do not have to, and you will still get all the other services at the clinic. If you do join the study, you may change your mind and may take your child out of the study at any time, without any prejudice being held against you.

If you agree for you and your child to be in this study please sign the form below.

Thank you for your help.

Joanne Potten ton.

I........................................agree that I and my child.....................................will join this study. I agree that Joanne Potten ton may look at my child’s file to get their blood results. I understand that we may withdraw from the study at any time.

Signed............................................................
Date..........................................................
Researcher..................................................
IKHASI ELINIKEZA ULWAZI

Mzali/umbheki wengane
Ngiyakubingelela futhe ngiyabonga ukuthi uzinike ithuba lokufanda lolu lwazi. Igama lami ngukoPotterton kanti ngungumhla wamathombo, phocekezi i-physiotherapist. Nginatashazana
socawango ngoba ngifuna ukuthula uwazi oluthe xasa ngokukhulu kwezingane ezinengcwana
leSandelula-Ngculazi (I-HIV) kanze nokukathazeka umuntu oasekelela ingane eneSandulela-
Ngculazi abakhoy. Uma ingane yakhe ihlangabezana nezidingo socawango ngizocelela uwazi
ukuthi wena ngenane yakho nilungathanda yini ukuqengena ocwangeni lwami. Ngceza lolu
cwaninga ngoba ngizama ukuthola indlela encengcono yokwelapha iziningane ezineSandelula-Ngculazi
nokubona ukuthi kukuhaa yini esingakwenza ukunciphisa ukukathazeka onakho ngokumakakela
ingane yakho.

Ngizohlola ukuthi yini ingane yakho esikwazi ukuvenza njalo emva kwezinyanga ezisithupha
kusukela manje ize ibe neminyaka emithathu nohafu ingane yakho. Lokhu kuzongibonisa ukuthi
ingane yakho ikhula kahle kangakanaani. Ngizobuye ngikuccele futhe ukuba uphendule imibuzo
ezobe imayelana nokukathazeka onakho njengomzali/umbheki wengane. Ukukholwa kwengane
yakho kuyothatha cishe ibhura ellodwa kanti ukuphendule imibuzo kuyothatha cishe imizuzu
eyi-15. Ngizobuye ngibheke nesafeyelele lengane yakho ukuzekengoba imiphumela ukukholwa
kwengaze layo kwakamuya.

Ngizozahlakanisa zibe ngamaqembuta amabili iziningane. Amaqembu womabili aazoza azongibona
njalo emva kwezinyanga ezitaathu. Ngizoyikholha imali yokugibela uma kudingeka zize
ngezikhathe czevile. Igembe lokucqala lizakalwa ubude, isitholo somzimba bese kukuhalwa
namakhanda abo. Igembe lexibili nalo lizakalwa ubude, isinzi somzimba bese bekhalwa
namakhanda abo, kanti nasaza/ababheki babo bayonikwa ubheko ekuzingase ukuthu
bafulunlele nezingane zabo emakhatya ukuzekazikhuthaza. Lokhu ngiwlenza ngoba ngishwa
ukuthi kusukela engcono yokumakakela iziningane ezineSandelula-Ngculazi.

Akakho lwengizokwenza ekungqumisa ingane yakho futhe yonke inti izincwana iyimfikile. Uma
ungathandi ukucqala kukuca cwaninga awuphoqelekile, futhe usazoqhubekwa nokuthula ezinye
izinsizakalo ethemelampilo. Uma ungena ocwangeni, unqungqo ukutho yakho uyikhipe
ingane yakho ocwangweni aoma ingasiphi isikhathi, ngale kokubandululwa ngandlela thize.

Uma uvumva ukuba wena nengane yakho ningencele lolu cwaninga, ngicela usayine ifomu elapha
ngethe.

Ngiyabonga ngosizo iswenu.

Ngjoanne Potterton
Mina..................................... ngiyavuma ukuthi mina nengane yami u....................
sisongena ocwangweni. Ngiyavuma ukuba uJoanne Potterton angabheka ifayela lengane yami
ukuzekaze athole imiphumela yegazi layo. Ngiquqonda ukuthi singaphuma ocwangweni aoma nini.

Isignesho..................................
Usuku...................................
Umewangi..................................
PAMPITSHANA YA TSEBISO

Motswadi/mohlokomedi ya ratehang
Ke a o dumedisa eble ke a o leboha ka ho ipha nako ya ho bala tsebiso ena. Lebiliso la ka ke Joanne Potterton, mme ke mosidilli wa masifa. Ke tshwarahane le ho etsa diphuputso tse itseng ho fumana ho eketsehileng mabapi le kgolo ya bana ba nang le HIV hammoho le kgatello moyeng ho motho ya hlokomelang ngwana ya nang le HIV. Haeba ngwana wa hao a phethahatsa ditlhokho tsa dipatlisiso tsaen, teng he, ke ne nka rata ho le kopa hore na ebe wena le ngwana wa hao le ka kenela dipatlisiso tsa ka na. Ke etsa dipatlisiso tsaen ho leka ho fumana tsela e molemo ka ho fetisisa ya ho tshwara bana ba nang le HIV le ho bona hore na ebe ho na le se o re ka se etsang ho fokotsa kgatello ya hao moyeng mabapi le ho hlokomela ngwana wa hao.

Ke tla etsa tšekatsheko ya hore ngwana wa hao a ka etsa eng dikgwedi tse ding le tse ding tse 6 ho tiona hona jwale ho fihlela ngwana wa hao a ba le dilemo tse 3 le halolo. Sena se tla mopolela hore ngwana wa hao o hola hantie hakae. Ke tla boela ke o kope ho tlatsa pampitshananadipotso ho fumantsa ho eketsehileng ka kgatello ya moyeng eo o e utlwang jwalo ka motswadi/mohlokomedi. Ke tla etsa sena diketelong tsa hao tse tiwaelehileng tsa tšeleniki. Tšekatsheko ya ngwana wa hao e tla nka nako e ka etsang hora e le ngwe mme ho tla o nka nako e ka bang metsosetso e 15 ho tlatsa pampitshananadipotso. Ke tla boela ke tshidimo tšela ya ngwana wa hao ho fumana sephetho sa ditsekho tsa hae tsa ho qeletla tsa madi.


Ha ho letho leo ke le etsang le thang ho utlwisa ngwana wa hao bohloko mme tsebiso ya hao kaofela e tla bolokwa e le lekunuto. Haeba ha o batle ho ba karolo ya dipatlisiso tsaen, ha o a qobellwa, mme o tla mme o fumane ditsebeletso tse ding tsohle tšeleniki. Ha ho ka etsahala o ikamahanye le dipatlisiso tsaen, o ka nna wa fetela mohopolo wa hao mme o ka ntsha ngwana wa hao dipatlisisong nako efe kapa efe, ntle le hore o tadingwe ka mahlo a mabe.

Haeba o dumela hore wena le ngwana wa hao le kenele dipatlisiso tsaen, o kopjwa ho saena toromo e ka tiase ka mona.
Joanne Potterton.


E saennwe: ........................
Letsatsi: ..........................
Mofuputsi: ........................
APPENDIX IV: Facet Scores
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dev. Age</th>
<th>Cognitive Mental</th>
<th>Dev. Age</th>
<th>Language Mental</th>
<th>Dev. Age</th>
<th>Social Mental</th>
<th>Dev. Age</th>
<th>Motor Mental</th>
<th>Dev. Age</th>
<th>Motor Motor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104, 105, 111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX V: Item Classification: Mental and Motor Scales
## MENTAL SCALE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Acquisition of cube(s) Reaches for cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Uses Eye-Hand Coordination in Reaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Picks up cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Reaches for second cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Picks up cube deftly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Retains two cubes for 3 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Retains two of three cubes for 3 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Attempts to secure three cubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Auditory Perception Habituates to rattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Discriminates between bell and rattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Searches with eyes for sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Glances from bell to rattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Turns head to sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Barrier Retrieves toy (clear box I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Retrieves toy (clear box II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Bell Manipulates bell, showing interest in detail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Rings bell purposely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Blue Board Places one piece (Blue Board)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Places four pieces in 150 seconds (Blue Board)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Completes Blue Board in 75 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Completes Blue Board in 30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Colour Learning Matches three colours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Matches four colours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Names Four colours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Construction with Blocks Builds Tower of Two Cubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Builds Tower of Six Cubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Builds Tower of Eight Cubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Builds Train of Cubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Builds Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Builds Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Builds T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Builds Steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Counting Counts (Number Names)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Counts (One-to-one Correspondance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Counts (Stable Number Order)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Counts (Cardinality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Counts (Order Variance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cube in Cup Puts one cube in cup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Puts three cubes in cup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
95 Puts nine cubes in cup

**Interpersonal**
- 1 Regards person momentarily
- 14 Smiles when examiner speaks
- 19 Smiles when examiner smiles
- 21 Vocalises when examiner speaks
- 33 Visually recognises caregiver
- 13 Reacts to disappearance of face

**Expressive language**
- 63 Imitates vocalisation
- 94 Imitates word
- 100 Uses two different words appropriately
- 106 Uses word(s) to make wants known
- 111 Combines word and gesture
- 113 Says eight different words
- 114 Uses a two-word utterance
- 117 Imitates a two-word sentence
- 127 Uses a three-word sentence
- 129 Makes a contingent utterance
- 136 Poses question(s)
- 148 Uses past tense

**Manipulative Behaviour-ring**
- 37 Manipulates ring
- 38 Reaches for suspended ring
- 39 Grasps suspended ring
- 40 Carries ring to mouth

**Mirror**
- 41 Approaches mirror image
- 49 Smiles at mirror image
- 50 Responds playfully to mirror image

**Naming objects**
- 110 Names one object
- 126 Names three objects

**Naming and pointing to pictures**
- 99 Points to two pictures
- 109 Names one picture
- 122 Points to five pictures
- 133 Names five pictures

**Object permanence-cup**
- 55 Lifts inverted cup
- 67 Lifts cup by handle
- 84 Finds one object
- 96 Finds toy under reversed cups
- 102 Retrieves toy (visible displacements)

**Object permanence-box**
- 72 Looks for contents of box
- 80 Removes lid from box

**Pegboard**
- 79 Fingers holes in pegboard
- 87 Places one peg repeatedly
98  Places pegs in 70 seconds
119  Places pegs in 25 seconds

**Pink board**
93  Places circle piece (pink board)
115  Completes pink board
120  Completes reversed pink board

**Pre-reading skills**
69  Looks at pictures in book
73  Turns pages of book
131  Attends to story
142  Produces multiple-word utterances in response to picture book

**Pre-writing behaviour**
60  Attends to scribbling
91  Scribbles spontaneously
103  Imitates crayon stroke
116  Differentiates scribble from stroke
139  Imitates vertical and horizontal strokes

**Quantitative Reasoning**
141  Understands concept of one
156  Understands concept of more

**Pattern discrimination**
162  Sorts pegs by colour
168  Completes patterns

**Receptive language**
70  Listens selectively to two familiar words
81  Responds to spoken request
101  Shows shoe, other clothing or object
107  Follows directions (doll)
108  Points to three of doll’s body parts

**Responds to being lifted**
2  Quiets when picked up
11  Becomes excited in anticipation
12  Adjusts in anticipation of being lifted

**Ring/string behaviour**
48  Plays with string
62  Pulls string adaptively to secure ring
82  Suspends ring by string

**Spatial problem-solving and planning**
169  Finds most direct route on map
170  Finds alternate route on map
171  Picks up two friends on map

**Understands another’s perspective**
158  Understands another’s perspective I
172  Understands another’s perspective II

**Understands prepositions**
140  Understands two prepositions
153  Understands four prepositions

**Visual discrimination**
Discriminates pictures I
Discriminates pictures II

**Visual Learning**
26  Habituates to visual stimulus
27  Discriminates novel visual pattern
28  Displays visual preference
29  Prefers novelty

**Visual tracking**
6   Regards ring for 3 seconds
15  Eyes follow ring (horizontal excursion)
16  Eyes follow ring (vertical excursion)
17  Eyes follow ring (circular path)
18  Eyes follow ring (arc)
24  Head follows ring

**Vocalisation**
10  Vocalises four times
22  Vocalises two different vowel sounds
31  Vocalises attitude
61  Vocalises three different vowel sounds
71  Repeats vowel-consonant combination
76  Jabbers expressively
78  Vocalises four different vowel-consonant combinations

**Ungrouped**
3   Responds to voice
4   Inspects surroundings
5   Eyes follow moving person
25  Regards cube for 3 seconds
32  Eyes follow ball rolling across table
34  Inspects own hand(s)
35  Plays with rattle
36  Eyes follow rod
43  Reaches persistently
46  Fixates on disappearance of ball for 2 seconds
47  Displays awareness of novel surroundings
51  Regards pellet
52  Bangs in play
54  Transfers object from hand to hand
56  Looks for fallen spoon
64  Cooperates in game
68  Uses gesture to make wants known
77  Pushes car
83  Pats toy in imitation
85  Removes pellet from bottle
89  Puts six beads in a box
92  Closes round container
104 Uses rod to attain toy
118 Identifies objects in photograph
121 Uses pronoun(s)
124 Discriminates book, cube and key
125 Matches pictures
132 Places beads in a tube in 120 seconds
134 Displays verbal comprehension
143 Recalls geometric forms
145 Compares sizes
147 Compares masses
152 Repeats three number sentences
154 Identifies gender
160 Remembers sequence
161 Discriminates patterns
163 Discriminates sizes
166 Identifies three incomplete pictures
167 Relates temporal sequence of events
174 Classifies objects
177 Comprehends congruent and incongruent tasks
178 Solves bridge-building problem

**MOTOR SCALE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Stands on right foot with help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Stands on left foot with help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Stands alone on right foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Stands alone on left foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Swings leg to kick ball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Stands alone on left foot for 4 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Stands alone on right foot for 4 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Hops twice on one foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Hops five feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drawing</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Copies circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Copies plus sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Traces designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Copies square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gaining vertical position</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Attempts to raise self to sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Raises self to sitting position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Raises self to standing position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Stands up I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Stands up II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Stands up III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grasping cube</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Uses partial thumb opposition to grasp cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Uses pads of fingertips to grasp cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grasping pellet</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Attempts to secure pellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Uses whole hand to grasp pellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Uses partial thumb opposition to grasp pellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Uses pads of fingertips to grasp pellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Places 10 pellets in bottle in 60 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grasping rod</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Uses whole hand to grasp rod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Uses partial thumb opposition to grasp rod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hands posture</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hands are fisted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Keeps hands open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Head Control-prone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Maintains head at 45º and lowers with control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Maintains head at 90º and lowers with control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head control – upright</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 & 4 | Lifts head when held at shoulder 
| 5 & 7 | Holds head erect for 3 seconds (vertical position) 
| 6 & 15 | Adjusts posture when held at shoulder 
| 19 | Holds head erect & steady for 15 seconds 
| 19 | Holds head steady while being moved 
| 19 | Balances head 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head control – suspension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8 & 14 | Lifts head (dorsal suspension) 
| 14 | Adjusts head to ventral suspension 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imitative behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 91 | Imitates hand movements 
| 98 | Imitates postures 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jumping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 78 | Jumps off floor (both feet) 
| 81 | Jumps from bottom step 
| 87 | Jumps distance of 4 inches 
| 106 | Jumps over rope 
| 109 | Jumps distance of 24 inches 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lowers self</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 55 | Sits down 
| 65 | Squats briefly 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pencil grasp and writing activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 58 | Grasps pencil at farthest end 
| 70 | Grasps pencil at middle 
| 74 | Uses pad of fingertips to grasp pencil 
| 75 | Uses hand to hold paper pencil 
| 90 | Grasps pencil at nearest end 
| 93 | Manipulates pencil in hand 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-ambulatory skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10 | Makes crawling movements 
| 18 | Elevates self by arms 
| 25 | Shifts weight on arms 
| 43 | Moves forward, using pre-walking methods 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 21 | Sits with support 
| 22 | Sits with slight support for 10 seconds 
| 28 | Sits alone momentarily 
| 34 | Sits alone for 30 seconds 
| 35 | Sits alone while playing with a toy 
| 36 | Sits alone steadily 
| 50 | Rotates trunk while sitting alone 
| 51 | Moves from sitting to creeping position 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 66 | Walks up stairs with help 
| 69 | Walks down stairs with help 
| 79 | Walks up stairs alone, placing both feet on each step 
| 80 | Walks down stairs alone, placing both feet on each step 
| 95 | Walks up stairs, alternating feet 
| 108 | Walks down stairs, alternating feet 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rolling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
11  Turns from side to back
26  Turns from back to side
38  Turns from back to stomach

**Uses person to raise self**
33  Pulls to sitting position
45  Pulls to standing position

**Walking**
40  Makes early stepping movements
44  Supports weight momentarily
46  Shifts weight while standing
53  Attempts to walk
54  Walks sideways while holding onto furniture
60  Walks with help
61  Stands alone
62  Walks alone
63  Walks alone with good coordination
67  Walks backward
71  Walks sideways
77  Runs with coordination
84  Walks forward on line
85  Walks backward close to line
89  Walks on tiptoe for 4 steps
90  Walks on tiptoe for 9 feet

**Ungrouped**
1   Thrusts arms in play
2   Thrusts legs in play
9   Holds legs up for 2 seconds
12  Attempts to bring hands to mouth
13  Retains ring
16  Displays symmetric movements
17  Holds head in midline position
27  Rotates wrist
30  Reaches unilaterally
39  Grasps foot with hands
48  Brings spoon or cubes to midline
64  Throws ball
88  Laces three beads
92  Tactilely discriminates shapes
97  Uses hand-eye coordination in tossing ring
100 Stops from full run
101 Buttons one button
APPENDIX VI: Differences in Scores between South African and American Infants on the BSID
Mental Scale

Motor Scale
DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE

ENGLISH

Caregiver’s name. ..............................................................................................................
Relationship to child. .....................................................................................................
Level of Education ........................................................................................................
Number of people in household: Adults......... Children ...........................................
Address..........................................................................................................................
Telephone Number.........................................................................................................
Approximate monthly income for household. ..............................................................

ZULU

IMIBIZO NGESIMO SEMPILO NENHLALO

Igama lombheki wengane. .............................................................................................
Ubuhlobo nengane........................................................................................................
Izinga lemfundo. .............................................................................................................
Inani labantu abahlala endlini: Abadala........ Izingane ........................................
Ikheli............................................................................................................................
Inombolo yocingo.........................................................................................................
Isilinganiso semali engena ekhaya ngenyanga............................................................

SOTHO

PAMPITSHANADIPOTSO YA HO PHATLALLA HA
BATHO HO YA KA DIBAKA

Lebitso la mohlokomedi..................................................................................................
Kamano le ngwana........................................................................................................
Maemo a thuto..............................................................................................................
Palo ya batho ka lapeng: Batho ba baholo........ bana........................................
Aterese..........................................................................................................................
Nomoro ya mohala..........................................................................................................
Kakanyo ya moputso wa lapeng wa kgwedi le kgwedi..................................................
APPENDIX VIII: Calculation of Developmental Age from Raw Scores obtained on the Mental and Motor Scales
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Scale Raw Score</th>
<th>Estimated Developmental Age (in months)</th>
<th>Motor Scale Raw Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 13</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0 - 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 - 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 - 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 - 40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 – 51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28 - 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 – 60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33 - 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - 65</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38 - 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 - 70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 – 74</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51 - 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 77</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 – 80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 – 86</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61 - 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 – 90</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64 - 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 – 93</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 – 97</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68 - 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 – 101</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 – 106</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>72-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 – 111</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>74-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 – 115</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 – 119</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 – 122</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123 – 125</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>79-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 – 128</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>81-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 – 131</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 – 134</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 – 137</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>86-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138 – 140</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>88-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 – 143</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 – 145</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 – 147</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149 – 150</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153 – 154</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 – 157</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158 – 162</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163 – 165</td>
<td>37 - 39</td>
<td>101-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166 - 178</td>
<td>&gt;42</td>
<td>106-111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX IX: Extrapolated Scores for MDI or PDI < 50