APPENDIX 4: Interview Questions

1) How much division was there within ANC policy-making circles with regard to land reform before 1994? How much importance was accorded to land reform in ANC policy-making circles? Who were the dominant voices in this discussion?

2) What type of connections did the ANC have with rural and land NGOs before 1994, and how has this changed?

3) How much of the policy and personnel of the pre-1994 period was carried over into the Department of Land Affairs? How much did pre-1994 policy initiatives like the 1991 White Paper on Land Reform affect the policies and outlook of the Department of Land Affairs? How much change in the top leadership of the Department of Land Affairs did Hanekom bring?

4) Who wrote the land related section of the RDP? What were the debates around the land related sections in the RDP? Were the targets set in the RDP realistic?

5) With regard to the Pilot Land Reform Programme, who selected the pilot projects, steering committees etc. and on what grounds? Whose interests were served by this selection?

6) What were the debates around the Green Paper on land reform?

7) What were the debates around the White Paper on Land Reform 1997? Who were the main participants in the debate?

8) What impact has GEAR had on land reform?

9) What were the major obstacles in a) the redistribution programme, b) the restitution programme, and c) tenure reform?

10) Which of the three programmes would you deem most and which least successful?

11) To what extent were there significant differences in terms of policy, and personality between Didiza and Hanekom? What is the significance of these policy changes in terms of future land reform?

12) With regard to Didiza’s Strategic Directions on Land Issues, how much does this differ from Hanekom’s policies and perceptions? How much continuity is there between the two approaches? Who wrote the Strategic Directions Paper, what were the debates around it, and who were the most influential groups in this debate?

13) Which groups or bodies have influenced the conception of land reform over the last seven years? Have these changed?